

#### **NEWS RELEASE**

For immediate release 26 March 2008

# Equitable Life's Preliminary Results Announcement for the year ended 31 December 2007

#### **Business Highlights:**

- The transfer of most of the Society's fixed pensions (£4.6 billion) to Canada Life Limited completed in February 2007;
- University Life Assurance Society was transferred to Reliance Mutual at the end of May 2007;
- The outstanding Subordinated Bonds were redeemed in August 2007;
- The Society's with-profits annuities (pensions in payment) transferred to Prudential in December 2007 (£1.7 billion); and
- Bonus maintained at 5% pa non-guaranteed increase for UK with-profits pension policies.

#### Vanni Treves, Equitable Life's Chairman, said:

"The major achievements of the last 12 months have brought the Society to an important crossroads. We can comfortably run the business off ourselves, but we are also in good shape for others to consider. If one or more third parties can provide a better outlook for our policyholders than we can ourselves then we will recommend the best approach to our members. Whatever the outcome, the next 12 months is the right time to address this question."

#### Charles Thomson, the Society's Chief Executive, said:

"The Society has continued its steady progress and we now operate 'business as usual' like any other closed fund. Of course, we have also implemented very significant deals with Canada Life and Prudential as well as addressing smaller issues in University Life and the Subordinated Bonds. The Society is at last ready to address the fundamental question of its longer term future - to continue alone, or to find a buyer. As always, the interests of policyholders will be paramount and we hope to identify the best approach this year."

- ENDS -

#### Equitable Life media enquiries:

Alistair Dunbar James Leviton Finsbury 07967 564 039 020 7251 3801

For security and training purposes, telephone calls may be recorded. Authorised and regulated by the Financial Services Authority. The Equitable Life Assurance Society is a mutual society registered in England No. 37038. Registered Office: 20 - 22 Bedford Row, London, WC1R 4JS, United Kingdom.

#### Notes to editors:

1) A copy of this announcement can be found in the Media Centre/latest release section and in the Financials/financial reports section of our website www.equitable.co.uk

#### 2) Financial calendar

22 April 2008 - Annual report and accounts published and sent to members.

19 May 2008 - 11 am, AGM, Church House Conference Centre, Dean's Yard, Westminster, London SW1P 3NZ

#### 3) Non-Statutory Accounts

The information shown in respect of the year ended 31 December 2007 is extracted from the Group Accounts for that year, which were approved by the Board of Directors on 26 March 2008 and will be filed with the Registrar of Companies. The financial information contained in this announcement does not constitute statutory accounts within the meaning of Section 240 of the Companies Act 1985. The report of the auditors on these Accounts is unqualified and does not contain a statement under Section 237(2) or (3) of the Companies Act 1985. The audit report refers to contingent liabilities and uncertainties in respect of the potential additional claims against the Society, expenses and increases in provisions that could arise as a result of different legal and regulatory views on its historical conduct and any changes in provisions arising from policyholder behaviour. The audit opinion is not qualified in respect of the contingent liabilities and uncertainties disclosed in note 25 and under the heading 'the Board's conclusion on provisions and going concern' in the Financial Review, which is referred to in note 25.

#### 4) FSA returns

The Society will also be submitting its Annual FSA Insurance Returns for the year ended 31 December 2007. Copies are available from the Society's registered office: 20-22 Bedford Row, London WC1R 4JS. The Returns will also available on the Society's website at www.equitable.co.uk

## Corporate review

#### **Dear Members**

2008 is likely to be a key year in deciding the longer term future of the Society. The Society can run its existing policies to maturity or it may be able to transfer them to one or more third parties who can provide the prospect of better outcomes for policyholders. The options should become clear during 2008.

We are pleased to report that 2007 saw some very important milestones in the strategic development of the Society:

- Most of the Society's fixed pensions transferred to Canada Life Limited in February 2007;
- University Life Assurance Society was transferred to Reliance Mutual at the end of May 2007;
- The Subordinated Bonds were redeemed in August 2007; and
- The Society's with-profits annuities (pensions in payment) transferred to Prudential in December 2007.

The Society remains a very large business - the with-profits fund is £6.8 billion - and most with-profits policyholders have savings contracts of various forms (mostly saving towards a pension). The Society is now stable and secure and it can foresee running its business, paying policy benefits as they fall due, for many years. The Society will remain closed to new business and will gradually run down as policies mature. This is known as 'run-off'.

In 2008 we are inviting other companies to say what they could do to improve the prospects for policyholders. If we believe that one or more can provide a better option for policyholders than run-off, we will choose the best proposal and recommend it to you. We emphasize that no such change would take place without the approval of members.

#### 2007 Bonus declaration

During 2007, the Society achieved a gross return on the with-profits fund of 4.0%. After adjusting for a rise in the value of liabilities corresponding to the fall in interest rates, the effective gross return was 3.3%. The Society currently deducts 1% p.a. to cover the cost of administration (this figure allows for future diseconomies of scale) and 0.5% p.a. to cover the cost of guarantees. The effective net return on the with-profits fund in 2007 was 1.9%. (2006: 3.9%). The removal of some risks in the business and the reduction in others during 2007 in particular has allowed the Board to enhance returns for policyholders above the effective net return arising from investment performance alone. Further details of the factors affecting the Board's bonus decisions are given in the Financial Review.

#### The key decisions are:

- Policy values (or their equivalents) will be increased for UK with-profits pensions policies at a non-guaranteed accrual rate of 5.0% p.a. (2006: 5.0% p.a.) for the whole of 2007 (4.0% p.a. for UK life policies 2006: 4.0% p.a.);
- A non-guaranteed interim bonus in 2008 of 5.0% p.a. for UK with-profits pensions policies (4.0% p.a. for UK life policies) will continue to be added to policy values (or their equivalents);
- Consistent with previous years, there is no guaranteed reversionary bonus for 2007; and
- The financial adjustment applied to the early surrender of with-profits policies was reduced to 5.0% in April 2007 (from 8.0%). This adjustment can be varied at any time and is kept under regular review.

Where a contractual policy payment is due and the guaranteed benefit exceeds the policy value (or its equivalent), it is the guaranteed benefit which will be paid. For this reason, increases in policy values (or their equivalents) described above will not affect the benefit payable under a policy unless the policy value exceeds the guaranteed benefit at the due date.

#### Financial position

The Society has maintained its satisfactory financial position. The Society's key measure of solvency is known as 'Excess Realistic Assets' and we continue to have an appropriate level of excess realistic assets for a fund in 'run-off'.

Excess realistic assets at 31 December 2007 were £621 million following the transfer of with-profits annuities to The Prudential Assurance Company Limited ("Prudential") (2006: £884 million), representing 9.2% of the with-profits fund (2006: 9.4%).

#### Parliamentary Ombudsman and the European Parliamentary Inquiry

In 2004, following strong criticisms of the regulators in Lord Penrose's report, the Society called on the Parliamentary Ombudsman ("PO") to reopen her independent inquiry into the regulation of Equitable Life. We have had lengthy and numerous confidential discussions with her inquiry team and continue to give all possible assistance. If the PO finds maladministration on the part of the regulators, she has the power to recommend Government compensation. We look forward to publication of the report.

The PO has stated that in April 2008 she expects to inform all interested parties of the final date for publication (which we expect to be in the summer). If she recommends Government compensation for policyholders we will be in the forefront of those calling on the Government to do the right thing. We will continue to work with politicians to support that goal.

In June, the European Parliament adopted the report of its special Committee into Equitable Life in the European context. The report recommended (among other things) that the UK Government should pay compensation to policyholders, but it also acknowledged that this recommendation has no force. The Government's reaction has, as predicted, been to wait for the PO's report.

#### Governance

The Association of Mutual Insurers ("AMI") published guidance for mutual insurers in December 2005. Much of the guidance was already covered in the Society's practices and the Society's Articles were amended at the Annual General Meeting "AGM" in May 2007 to reduce to 500 the number of members needed to requisition a resolution to an AGM or to requisition an Extraordinary General Meeting.

The Society's member relations strategy (published in the corporate governance section of the Society's website) aims to help members take an interest in its governance. Questions from members in respect of corporate issues can be addressed to the member relations function through a dedicated email address: <a href="mailto:member.relations@equitable.co.uk">member.relations@equitable.co.uk</a> and through a special postal address: Member relations, Equitable Life Assurance Society, Warwick Court, Paternoster Square, London EC4M 7DX. Questions relating to members' policies continue to be addressed by customer services staff in Aylesbury.

The Society has produced a guide on how we manage the with-profits fund. This is a simpler, easier to follow version of the Principles and Practices of Financial Management ("PPFM"). Also, each year we produce reports by the Board and by the With-profits Actuary on how the with-profits fund has been managed. In the interests of keeping costs down, we make these documents available on the corporate governance section of the Society's website. They are also available to members on request. If there are material changes in these documents we will, of course, draw them to your attention.

The Society held an Extraordinary General Meeting in October 2007 to allow members to discuss and vote for the proposal to transfer the with-profits annuity policies to Prudential. They did so by an overwhelming majority of over 98% of those voting.

#### Litigation

In 2004, a group of 873 with-profits annuitants commenced proceedings against the Society, although the majority subsequently withdrew from the proceedings. Settlement was agreed in December 2007 with the remaining 401 annuitants involved. The cost was well within the provisions which the Society had made in respect of this action.

During 2008 we have been notified of 78 legal claims lodged in various regional courts in Germany. We will examine these claims in due course and consider them on their individual merits. As usual, we will resist any attempts by policyholders to obtain an unfair advantage at the expense of all other with-profits policyholders.

#### **Customer service**

During 2007, we issued 260,000 annual statements to inform policyholders of the progress of their policies. We also issued around 284,000 letters announcing the proposed transfer of with-profits annuity policies to Prudential and more than 385,000 packs explaining the proposal in detail.

Our customer services staff dealt with 330,000 telephone calls (2006: 400,000) and 316,000 letters (2006: 550,000). The higher levels in 2006 reflected the impact of the new rules for pensions which were introduced on 6 April 2006.

#### **Business outlook**

The Society's business objectives continue to include:

- Treating policyholders fairly, including leavers, and ensuring we meet the guarantees provided to policyholders by pursuing an appropriate investment strategy;
- Reducing expenses and further improving our business model; and
- Resolving outstanding claims against the fund.

The Society is stable and secure and it achieves that, in part, by investing predominantly in fixed income investments (with only around up to 20% of the fund in equities and property). The current outlook is:

- Policyholders will get at least their minimum guaranteed benefits when they fall due;
- Additional, non-guaranteed, benefits will reflect the cautious investment policy in stable conditions we would expect returns to remain around the current levels (for example, growth of policy values of 5% p.a. for UK pension policies);
- We hold back some assets to cover the risks of the fund. As the fund runs down those extra assets (if they
  are not needed to cover the risks) will be released to policyholders. The effect is likely to be a gradual
  release of these assets to policyholders over a long time;
- Members continue to share in the profits and also the losses of the business. So, for example, policy values could be adversely affected by the cost of any unanticipated future claims against the Society (or any other new problems) if the existing money put aside is not enough; and
- Over time, as policies continue to mature, the relative management costs of the Society will increase and
  the cost of the increase will fall on the remaining policyholders. We have modelled this development and
  have made allowances in our accounting, but there is a risk that the actual changes will be greater than
  the models have implied.

This is the background against which the Society will assess any proposals from third parties to see whether they can provide improved prospects for policyholders.

#### **Your Board**

We were pleased to welcome Tim Bateman, General Manager (Finance), who joined the Board as an executive Director in January 2008. Tim has been with the Society since 2004 giving advice to the Board in his professional capacities as Actuarial Function Holder and, until November 2007, as With-profits Actuary.

#### **Looking forward**

The Society has a stable and secure future, even though, inevitably, it will face some challenges as a closed fund in 'run-off'.

As we said earlier, it is from this position of relative strength and security that we are about to invite third parties to approach us with proposals which could improve the prospects for policyholders. We will also continue to look for improvements that could be made internally.

During 2008, we expect to be able to determine whether the next phase of the Society's future will be best for policyholders if we continue independently, or whether one or more third parties can produce the prospect of better outcomes for policyholders. No such change would take place without the approval of members. If such a change appears to be the right way forward it would probably be implemented during 2009.

As always, you may rest assured that your Board will continue to do everything it possibly can to maintain the stability and the security of your Society and to improve further the prospects for all policyholders.

On behalf of the Society's Board of Directors

Vanni Treves Chairman Charles Thomson
Chief Executive

### Financial Review

#### Transfer of with-profits annuity policies

On 15 March 2007 the Society announced it had entered into an agreement with The Prudential Assurance Company Ltd. (the Prudential) for the transfer of all its with-profits annuity policies. The transfer of assets, and the resulting impact on liabilities of £1.75bn, took place on 31 December 2007, following a High Court approval of a Part VII Scheme of Arrangement. Further details of the assets and liabilities transferred are provided in Note 10d and Note 16d respectively. These movements are presented in the financial statements as discontinued operations.

In September the Society published a Policyholder Circular in conjunction with the transfer. This document set out the details of the transfer and the principles to be used to allocate a fair share of the Excess Realistic Assets ("ERA") to with-profits annuitants. As a result of the transfer, the ERA has reduced by £188m, as shown in the table overleaf, slightly less than the £195m estimated as at 30 June 2007.

#### **Excess Realistic Assets and regulatory solvency**

The key measure of the Society's net resources is the excess of realistic assets over liabilities before deduction of the estimate of the value of future discretionary enhancements to policy values ("ERA"). This amount, which is reported as a policy-related liability in the technical provisions, is available to meet any unforeseen liabilities, and liabilities in excess of those provided for at the balance sheet date, and to enhance bonuses in the future.

At 31 December 2007, ERA were £621m, a decrease of £263m over the prior year, including the reduction of £188m transferred to the Prudential as part of the transfer of with-profits annuities mentioned above. The analysis of the with-profits assets and liabilities is as follows:

	2007	2006
	£m	£m
Realistic value of with-profits assets less:	6,775	9,453
Policy values	5,383	7,559
Future charges	(206)	(288)
Impact of early surrenders	(31)	(50)
Cost of guarantees	442	566
Other long-term liabilities	384	406
Other liabilities	182	376
	6,154	8,569
Excess Realistic Assets	621	884

#### Notes

<sup>(1)</sup> The above analysis for 2006 excludes the matching assets and liabilities of the Canada Life Ltd. 'deposit back' arrangement.

<sup>(2)</sup> Assets to the value of £1.75bn were transferred to the Prudential as part of the with-profits annuity transaction. A description of the above liabilities is set out in Note 16d on page 50.

The key movements in the ERA during 2007 are shown in the following table:

	2007	2006
	£m	£m
Opening Excess Realistic Assets	884	669
Investment performance and effect of bonuses	(112)	69
Mortality experience and assumption changes	(22)	97
Surrender experience and assumption changes	19	28
Changes in other valuation assumptions	28	(12)
Variances in provisions and expenses	(13)	13
Transfer of with profit annuities to Prudential	(188)	-
Other movements	25	20
Closing Excess Realistic Assets	621	884

The principal changes in the ERA over and above the transfer to Prudential, are explained in more detail in later sections of this Review.

The Society seeks to maintain the ERA balance at a level that protects solvency whilst treating continuing and exiting policyholders fairly. The balance at 31 December 2007 represents 9.2% of with-profits realistic assets, a small decrease from the equivalent figure of 9.4% at 31 December 2006.

The policy value attributable to with-profits policies may include an element of non-guaranteed final bonus. A prudent allowance for future bonuses, based on assumed future net investment returns that take account of deductions for potential risks, is included in the valuation of the long-term business technical provision in these financial statements. Any enhancements to the bonuses assumed would be met from the ERA.

#### Investment performance and capacity to pay bonuses

During 2007, the Society continued to operate a cautious investment strategy of retaining a relatively low proportion of the with-profits fund in equities and property, in order that its assets match closely its realistic liabilities. The weighting in favour of fixed-income securities within the investment portfolio results in there being limited scope for growth of the fund. The assets backing UK with-profits policies produced a gross return of 4.0% during the year, benefiting from the change in yields over the year which increased the value of fixed-income securities. However, the financial market re-evaluated the risk associated with corporate bonds (commonly referred to as the 'credit crunch') with the impact of reducing the value of corporate bonds over the year. This, and falls in property values in the latter part of the year, had the effect of reducing the gross return. The return adjusted for yield movements (which affect both assets and liabilities) was 3.3% reducing to 1.9% after allowing for the impact of expenses, a 0.5% charge for guarantees, tax and the effect of changes in provisions and technical provisions.

The ERA reconciliation table above shows a net figure of £112m for adverse investment performance and the effect of bonuses. The gains made from the good returns on our small portfolio of equity holdings in the year were more than offset by losses arising from the widening of credit spreads on corporate bonds, and the falls in property values that impacted the UK property market at the end of 2007.

The UK with-profits fund's exposure to equities was increased during the year by the purchase of a small amount of short-term derivative contracts whose value moves in line with changes in the level of the FTSE 100 Index. The Society will consider further opportunities to increase the fund's exposure to equities and will seek to manage its property holdings in line with the run-off of business and its need to meet regulatory capital requirements.

The Society also purchased a number of interest rate swaptions in 2007 as part of a strategy to manage the combined risks of a low interest rate economy and policyholders deferring their retirement. This is discussed further in a later section of this Review.

In determining bonus policy, the Society needs to consider the longer term picture and aims to balance the objectives of continuing to meet its obligations to policyholders and other creditors as they fall due and of distributing the Society's assets over the lifetime of its policies as fairly as possible. The ability to increase policy values depends to a considerable extent on the returns achieved on, and the outlook for, the Society's property and private equity-related portfolios, whose value and liquidity could be affected by adverse market conditions. It is also dependent on actual and expected expense levels, the expected cost of guarantees, costs of meeting commitments in respect of non-profit annuities, miscellaneous profits and losses and possible changes in the level of provisions.

As reported in previous financial statements, a charge is held back from the investment return to meet the cost of guarantees. The assumption for the future charge against investment returns has been maintained at 0.5% p.a., in accordance with the range of values stated in the Society's Principles and Practices of Financial Management ("PPFM"). This charge can be reduced or increased depending on the financial position of the Society.

After consideration of all risks, reserving and capital matters, the Board has increased policy values at the rate of 5.0% p.a. (2006: 5.0% p.a.) for applicable with-profits pension policies for 2007, and 4.0% p.a. (2006: 4.0% p.a.) for life assurance policies. This 2007 bonus includes some distribution of excess assets following the Society's continued improved financial strength and reduction of risks. As a result, policy values (including with-profits annuities) have been increased in 2007 by £205m (2006: £78m) in excess of that implied by the adjusted return available for distribution. The Board will keep the level of capital available under review, in order that further distributions reflect the circumstances and the risks facing the Society.

A non-guaranteed interim bonus will continue to be added to policy values (or their equivalents) in 2008 at a rate of 5.0% p.a. for pension policies (2007: 5.0% p.a.), and 4.0% p.a. for life policies (2007: 4.0% p.a.). These interim bonus rates will apply until further notice. The Board may change interim bonus rates during the year.

As previously advised, policyholders should note that, in order to meet all its future contractual liabilities for the foreseeable future, any new distributions of surplus will be made in non-guaranteed form and there is no expectation of any further reversionary bonus being awarded in the near to medium term. Accordingly, there will be no reversionary bonuses for 2007. However, for those policies with Guaranteed Investment Returns ("GIR"), the value of the guaranteed benefit is not changed by the increase in policy values, but is increased instead at the rate set out in the policy conditions, typically being 3.5% p.a.

#### **Expenses and provisions**

Overall expenses have increased by £50m in 2007. Savings on recurring expenses have been offset by increases in exceptional expenses as a result of strategic activity, and a review of pension commitments in the year.

Expense savings have been made in investment expenses including interest (2007: £21m, 2006: £30m) due to the fall in investment management expenses which is consistent with a smaller investment asset portfolio. Both this, and the £10m saving in non-exceptional expenses reflect reduced activity levels arising from the transfer of liabilities as part of the Canada Life Ltd. transaction.

The savings in recurring expenses have been offset by a £70m increase in exceptional expenses (2007: £98m, 2006: £28m). These additional costs are as a result of implementing the strategic initiatives in 2007 and changes to the funding of the pension scheme. Following consultation with our advisors on the likely valuation assumptions to be used by the Scheme Actuary at the next triennial review of the scheme, the provision has been increased by £44m from the December 2006 provision. This reflects the significant impact of moving to a more prudent mortality assumption in line with the current views on longevity. The increase in provision also reflects changes in underlying assumptions.

The costs associated with the transfer of the with-profits annuitants to the Prudential Assurance Company Ltd., as well as further costs involved with the work commencing to look at the future strategy for the Society, are the principal reasons for higher strategic costs in 2007.

As shown in Note 16h to the financial statements, balance sheet provisions, included as part of the technical provisions, have reduced over the year. The Miscellaneous Provisions (including Managed Pension mis-selling and the Rectification Scheme) have reduced by a combined £60m. The provision for exceptional expenses of £82m includes provision for future pension contributions which is also affected by the pension scheme assumption changes noted above.

The cost of administration and investment management at 0.5% of realistic with-profits assets for 2007 (2006: 0.7%) is a key indicator of expenses. In order to take account of the uncertainty of future costs and the challenge of reducing costs as policies mature or transfer, administration expenses as a percentage of policy values are allowed for at a rate of 1.0% p.a., and underruns (as occurred in 2007) below this level are held in reserve to cover expected future higher costs.

Overall, the ERA reconciliation table shows an unfavourable variance in provisions and expenses, taken together, of £13m.

As previously reported, the Society was unable to reach agreement with HBOS over the initial premium payable in respect of the 2001 reassurance arrangement and the matter was referred to an independent umpire for resolution. The umpire issued his determination during 2006, the resultant amount due and paid to HBOS was within the provision held by the Society for this matter. HBOS did not accept that determination and consequently issued judicial proceedings, which the Society vigorously contested. The issue has subsequently been referred back to the umpire who has provided further reasons justifying his original determination. The proceedings remain adjourned at present and there is currently no known intention of either party to restore them, although that remains a possibility. If that were to occur, the court could potentially require the initial premium issue to be redetermined.

There remain uncertainties in establishing appropriate values relating to certain provisions and there continues to exist the possibility of changes in provisions arising from regulatory interpretations or requirements. Although the Society, in undertaking its responsibility to be fair to all members, adopts a robust procedure for dealing with mis-selling claims, there remains the risk of exposure to other claims and the possibility that provisions prove insufficient. There also remains the possibility of a return in the future to a situation of volatility in the number of policy exits, with related increases in costs.

#### Actuarial assumptions and modelling

Following further analysis during the year of actual experience and following consideration of expected future experience, the Society has further modified its mortality assumptions, principally relating to future rates of improvement in annuitant mortality.

During 2007, as part of the work involved in the transfer of non-profit annuity business to Canada Life Ltd., the Society undertook a review of the remaining non-profit annuity business. This process led to refinements of the data and assumptions used in valuation. This produced a large proportion of the £28m shown in the ERA table as 'Changes in other valuation assumptions'.

#### Protection of the fund and policyholder behaviour

Where a policyholder surrenders his or her with-profits policy (or switches to a unit-linked fund) before maturity, contractual obligations in respect of payouts under the policy generally do not apply. The Society takes account of the interests of all policyholders in these circumstances by paying the policy value (or equivalent), less a financial adjustment. In setting the financial adjustment, the aim is for the amounts paid to surrendering policies to be fair, but not to disadvantage continuing policyholders. In particular, the amounts paid to surrendering policyholders should not reduce the payout prospects of the continuing policyholders. The financial adjustment was reduced from 8% to 5% in April 2007.

This adjustment can be varied at any time without advance notice to policyholders and any such change would reflect the financial position of the Society at that time. In particular, any reduction in values of property or assets other than fixed-interest securities is not offset by a reduction in guaranteed liabilities, so that any future adverse change in the Society's financial circumstances resulting from a significant fall in net asset values or increase in provisions or non-matched liabilities may necessitate policy value reductions. If the Society were to be forced to sell fixed-interest securities to its disadvantage before their relevant maturity dates, in order to make payments to surrendering policyholders, assets and liabilities cease to be matched. In such circumstances, those policyholders would be expected to bear the related costs incurred, by way of a higher financial adjustment.

Although the Society experienced a stable level of claims during the year, with figures broadly in line with last year, changes in the pattern of surrenders have been reflected in the realistic assumptions which, together with favourable actual experience in the year, result in a gain of £19m in ERA, as shown in the reconciliation table on page 7.

Allowance has been made in realistic liabilities for future discretionary non-guaranteed bonuses. As noted previously, it is the Society's intention that any future bonuses will be in a non-guaranteed form. Allowance is made for continuing contractual commitments, such as the GIR of 3.5% p.a. that is applicable to many policies. If the Society's investment return falls below a rate which covers the guarantees and its expenses and the assumed retirement profile ceases to be appropriate as a result of significant numbers of policyholders deferring their retirement dates, substantially higher technical provisions may be required, as described in Note 16g to the financial statements.

The Society has purchased a series of interest rate swaptions with a range of terms during 2007. The purpose of these swaptions is to provide additional capital when interest rates on similar fixed-interest securities fall. These swaptions are designed to partially mitigate any increase in liabilities for Recurrent Single Premiums ("RSP") policies with a non-zero GIR if policyholders defer their retirement plans. A fall in interest rates of 1.0% at all terms would increase the value of the swaptions by £44m and a similar increase would decrease the value by £19m.

#### Financial Services Authority ("FSA") presentation

In assessing the Society's ability to meet its obligations as they fall due, the amount of ERA is more relevant than the excess of net assets over the regulatory or realistic capital requirements, as reported in the separate set of financial returns sent to the FSA. The FSA have established the Capital Resources Requirement ("CRR") which represents the minimum level of regulatory capital which the Society is required to maintain. As a mutual company the ERA surplus are deemed to be part of policyholder liabilities, and therefore the Society does not report a capital surplus.

During 2007, the Subordinated Bonds were redeemed at the option of the issuer Equitable Life Finance plc. ("ELF"). The Subordinated Debt provided additional capital that resulted in an excess over CRR. With this redemption the CRR now equals the available capital resources and the figure for net assets in excess of CRR is £nil. Note 17 provides greater detail on this.

The table below details the principal reconciling items between the ERA and the excess of net assets over the CRR for the Society.

	2007	2006
	£m	£m
Excess Realistic Assets	621	884
Subordinated Debt (Note 1)	-	167
Reserving adjustments and disallowed assets (Note 2)	119	132
Regulatory net assets	740	1,183
Capital Resources Requirement (CRR)	(740)	(1,016)
Net assets in excess of CRR	-	167

#### Notes:

- (1) For the purposes of regulatory reporting, the carrying value of the Subordinated Debt can be treated as capital. The net assets in excess of CRR in 2006 are represented by the Subordinated Debt balance.
- (2) Certain balances are required to be held in the FSA returns at values that are measured on bases different from those adopted for the financial statements.

In addition to the requirement to prepare financial statements on a realistic basis, the Society's particular risks are quantified for the preparation of an annual confidential assessment of its capital needs as required by FSA rules, introduced under the Individual Capital Assessment ("ICA") framework. The calculations are underpinned by consideration of the underlying risks, which include credit risk, market risk, liquidity risk, operational risk and insurance risk.

#### Accounting basis of preparation

The year-end financial statements have been prepared in accordance with 'FRS 26 Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement' in line with most other insurers and comparatives restated accordingly. The financial statements also reflect the implementation of 'FRS 29 Financial Instruments: Disclosures', which became a requirement on adoption of FRS 26 and the purchase of the receiver swaptions.

FRS 26 introduced a distinction between insurance contracts and investment contracts. This was first presented in the interim accounts for 2007, and Note 1 of 'Accounting Policies: Contract Classification' provides an explanation of this approach.

The impact of FRS 26 on the 2007 year-end accounts has removed £45m gross premiums (£61m: as at 31/12/2006), and £292m gross claims (£267m: as at 31/12/2006) from the Profit and Loss Account. These values are now included in the Technical Reserves in the Balance Sheet. The FRS 29 disclosure requirements can primarily be seen in Note 18, and specifically include qualitative and quantitative information on market, credit and liquidity risk for the Society.

The introduction of these new accounting policies has had no impact on the profit or ERA of the Society.

#### Transfer of the bulk of the non-profit pension annuities

On 11 May 2006, the Society entered into various agreements with Canada Life Ltd., which led to the transfer of around 90% of the Society's non-profit pension annuities in payment. The actual transfer of those policies and the assets over which Canada Life Ltd. held a charge took place on 9 February 2007, following High Court approval of a Part VII Scheme of Arrangement.

The business transferred is treated as discontinued operations within the Profit and Loss Account. Further details are explained in Notes 3, 10 and 20 on pages 39, 47 and 62 respectively.

#### Equitable Life Finance plc

Under the terms of the agreement, Equitable Life Finance plc. ("ELF"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Society, had the right to redeem £179m of remaining bonds at par. On 6 August 2007 ELF exercised its option to redeem all the remaining bonds.

On 30 October 2007 ELF passed a resolution for voluntary winding up of the company.

#### **University Life Assurance Society**

On 20 December 2006, the Society agreed to sell the share capital of its subsidiary company, University Life Assurance Society ("ULAS") to Reliance Mutual Insurance Society Ltd. The sale was completed on 31 May 2007 and there was no significant profit or loss on disposal.

#### The Board's conclusions on provisions and going concern

The Board is responsible for making a formal assessment as to whether the 'going concern' basis is appropriate for preparing these financial statements. The going concern basis presumes that the Society will continue to be able to meet its guaranteed obligations to policyholders and other creditors as they fall due. To do this, the Society must have sufficient assets not only to meet the payments associated with its business but also to withstand the impact of other events that might reasonably be expected to happen.

The Board has examined the issues relevant to the going concern basis which, in summary, are mainly the exposure to: increases in provisions; investment losses; impact of discretionary bonus payments; effect of lower interest rates on the behaviour of policyholders with GIR; future expense levels (including the costs of the continuing pension obligations to former staff); persistency risks (the age or duration at which benefits are taken); and mortality risks.

The financial position of the Society has been projected under a range of economic scenarios. The Board has also considered the level of contingent liabilities (that is, liabilities not recorded in the financial statements but which could conceivably arise) in its analysis of the Society's financial position. The results of this work show that the probability, over the foreseeable future, of the Society being unable to meet its guaranteed obligations to policyholders is not significant. The Board is confident of its ability to manage adverse scenarios that may arise, but there cannot be absolute assurance. In such circumstances, as with any other long-term fund, appropriate actions could be necessary to adjust maturity values and surrender values, in order that policy guarantees can be met.

In addition, the Board has considered the potential additional claims referred to in Note 25 to the financial statements, entitled 'Contingent liabilities and uncertainties'. The Board has assessed the probability of these uncertainties arising and on the basis of current information and having taken legal and actuarial advice, has concluded that it is highly unlikely they will result in any material adverse financial consequences. Certain of those risks, in extremely adverse scenarios, could prejudice the continuing solvency of the Society.

The Board has given due consideration to all the potential risks and possible actions set out above and has concluded that it remains appropriate to prepare these financial statements on a going concern basis.

Because of volatility in investment and property markets, the uncertain nature of provisions and the other potential strains on the Society's finances, and even though all these issues are subject to close management scrutiny, the Board recognises the possibility that the Society may not meet regulatory capital requirements at all times in the future. As noted above, any such failure does not, of itself, cause the Society to become insolvent.

## **Profit and Loss Account**

### For the year ended 31 December 2007

Technical account - long-term business

Balance on the Technical Account

č			Gro	up	
		2007	,	2006	
	Notes			Restated	
		£m	£m	£m	£m
Earned premiums, net of reinsurance					
Gross premiums written	2a	124		114	
Outward reinsurance premiums	3				
- Continuing operations		(26)		(47)	
- Discontinued operations		-		(4,608)	
			98		(4,541)
Investment Income	4a		790		1,060
Other technical income	5a		41		11
Total technical income			929		(3,470)
Claims incurred, net of reinsurance					
Claims paid - gross amount	6	1,185		1,408	
Reinsurers' share	3	(124)		(358)	
			1,061		1,050
Change in provision for claims - gross amount	6		(12)		13
<u> </u>			1,049		1,063
Changes in other technical provisions, net of reinsura	ince				
Long-term business provision - gross amount	16a	(6,086)		(1,268)	
Reinsurers' share	3,16c	3,607		(3,502)	
			(2,479)		(4,770)
Comprising - Continuing operations			(539)		(657)
- Discontinued operations	16d		(1,940)		(4,113)
Technical provisions for linked liabilities - gross amount	: 16b	(526)		223	
Reinsurers' share	3,16c	516		(879)	
			(10)		(656)
Comprising - Continuing operations			(10)		(6)
- Discontinued operations	16d		-		(650)
Net operating expenses - non-exceptional	7a	45		55	
Net operating expenses - exceptional	7b	98		28	
Net operating expenses			143		83
Investment expenses including interest	4b		21		30
Other technical charges	5b		1,757		22
Unrealised losses on investments	4c		445		744
Taxation attributable to the long-term business	9a		2		13
Transfer to the Fund for Future Appropriations	15		1		1
			2,369		893
Total technical charges			929		(3,470)

All significant recognised gains and losses are dealt with in the Profit and Loss Account. The Notes on pages 33 to 65 form an integral part of these financial statements.

## **Balance Sheets**

### As at 31 December 2007

Assets

Assets		G	iroup	Soc	ciety
	Notes	2007	2006 Restated	2007	2006 Restated
		£m	£m	£m	£m
Investments					
Land and buildings	10a	625	840	625	837
Investments in Group undertakings	10b	-	-	16	19
Shares and other variable yield	10c	478	569	466	544
securities and units in unit trusts					
Debt and other fixed-income securities	10c	5,661	11,972	5,661	11,952
Deposits and other investments	10c	337	558	337	555
		7,101	13,939	7,105	13,907
Assets held to cover linked liabilities	11	76	87	76	87
Reinsurers' share of technical					
provisions					
Long-term business provision	16c	315	3,922	315	3,922
Technical provisions for linked liabilities	16c	2,477	3,240	2,477	3,240
		2,792	7,162	2,792	7,162
Debtors					
Debtors arising out of direct insurance operations	12	5	31	5	31
Other debtors		36	48	36	47
		41	79	41	78
Other assets					
Cash at bank and in hand		18	16	14	11
Prepayments and accrued income					
Accrued interest and rent		111	208	111	207
Other prepayments and accrued income	13	70	111	70	111
z z z z z z z z z z z z z z z z z z z		181	319	181	318
Total assets		10,209	21,602	10,209	21,563
			•		

The Notes on pages 33 to 65 form an integral part of these financial statements.

## **Balance Sheets**

### As at 31 December 2007

#### Liabilities

		Group		Socie	Society		
	Notes	2007 £m	2006 Restated £m	2007 £m	2006 Restated £m		
		LIII	LIII	LIII	LIII		
Subordinated liabilities	14	-	171	-	167		
Fund for future appropriations	15	-	10	-	-		
Technical provisions	16a & 16g						
Long-term business technical provision - gross amount		7,474	13,583	7,474	13,559		
Claims outstanding		3	15	3	15		
		7,477	13,598	7,477	13,574		
Linked liabilities	16b	2,553	3,327	2,553	3,326		
		10,030	16,925	10,030	16,900		
Provision for other risks and charges	19	53	37	53	36		
Creditors							
Creditors arising out of direct		40		42	4-		
insurance operations		43	47	43	47		
Deposits received from reinsurer - secured	20	-	4,316	-	4,316		
Creditors arising out of reinsurance		1	25	1	25		
operations Amounts owed to credit institutions	21a	16	19	16	19		
Other creditors including taxation and social security	21b	41	20	41	27		
		101	4,427	101	4,434		
Accruals and deferred income		25	32	25	26		
Total liabilities		10,209	21,602	10,209	21,563		

These financial statements were approved by the Board on 26 March 2008 and were signed on its behalf by:

Vanni Treves Chairman Charles Thomson
Chief Executive

The Notes on pages 33 to 65 form an integral part of these financial statements.

### Notes on the Financial Statements

#### 1. Accounting policies

#### A. Basis of presentation

The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with sections 255 and 255A of, and Schedule 9A to, the Companies Act 1985 and in accordance with applicable accounting standards and the Association of British Insurers' Statement of Recommended Practice ("SORP") on Accounting for Insurance Business dated December 2005 and revised in December 2006, which, inter alia, incorporates the requirements of 'FRS 27 Life Assurance'. The true and fair override provisions of the Companies Act 1985 have been invoked.

The Directors have considered the appropriateness of the going concern basis used in the preparation of these financial statements, having regard to the ability of the Society to be able to meet its liabilities as and when they fall due, and the adequacy of available assets to meet liabilities. In the opinion of the Directors, the going concern basis adopted in the preparation of these financial statements continues to be appropriate. A more detailed explanation is provided in the Financial Review on pages 11 and 12.

Where relevant, Profit and Loss Account line items are analysed separately between continuing and discontinued operations. An explanation of the discontinued operations is provided in Notes 3 and 5.

Certain administrative expenses were incurred in respect of customer support services provided by HBOS. For the purposes of these accounts, references to HBOS relate to various HBOS plc. group companies.

#### B. Change in accounting policies

During 2007 the Society has invested in derivatives. Therefore the Group has amended its accounting policy to adopt 'FRS 26 Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement'. As described in Section D, E and F below, adoption of this standard requires the Group to classify its contracts into three categories with resulting differing measurement approaches. The introduction of these new accounting policies had no impact on the profit or ERA (the excess of assets over realistic liabilities) of the Society.

As a result of adopting FRS 26, the Group has also adopted 'FRS 23 The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates', and 'FRS 29 Financial Instruments: Disclosures'. The adoption of these standards represents a change in accounting policy and prior year comparatives have been provided accordingly. The accounting policy changes above have no overall impact on reported profit and loss.

The introduction of 'FRS 24 Financial Reporting in Hyperinflationary Economies' has had no impact on the financial statements.

The Directors have reviewed the accounting policies and satisfied themselves as to their appropriateness. Other than the adoption of the standards referred to above, there are no other changes in accounting policy from the prior year.

#### C. Basis of consolidation

The financial statements for the Group consolidate the financial statements of the Society and all its subsidiary undertakings, drawn up to 31 December each year.

University Life Assurance Society ("ULAS") was sold to Reliance Mutual Insurance Society Ltd. on 31 May 2007 and so its results to that date are consolidated in the Group Profit and Loss Account. The position of ULAS at 31 December 2007 is not consolidated in the Group Balance Sheet.

Equitable Life Finance plc. ("ELF") passed a resolution for voluntary winding up on 30 October 2007 following the redemption of the Bonds in August as described in the Financial Review on page 11. The results to the date of liquidation are consolidated in the Group Profit and Loss Account. The position of ELF at 31 December 2007 is not consolidated in the Group Balance Sheet.

The Society, as permitted under Section 230 of the Companies Act 1985, has not presented its own Profit and Loss Account.

#### D. Accounting for Part VII Transfers

During 2007 the Society completed two transfers of business under Part VII of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000. The Part VII transactions involved transferring technical provisions and corresponding net assets to the counterparty. The value of assets transferred is reported in 'Other technical charges' in the Profit and Loss Account. The transfer of technical provisions is reported in 'Change in other technical provisions'.

The transfer of with-profit annuities to the Prudential involved assets and liabilities of £1.75bn transferring on 31 December 2007.

The transfer of non-profit annuities to Canada Life Ltd. involved an initial reassurance phase. Assets valued at £4.6bn were transferred to Canada Life Ltd. to settle the initial premium. The assets were deposited back with the Society until the Part VII Transfer on 9 February 2007 when £4.23bn of assets and £4.13bn of liabilities were transferred to Canada Life Ltd. The technical provisions were fully reassured at the transfer, and the net assets were £nil.

#### E. Contract classification

The Group has classified its Long Term Assurance business in accordance with 'FRS 26 Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement'. Insurance contracts are contracts that transfer significant insurance risk such as non unit-linked non-profit contracts. Investment contracts are those contracts where no significant insurance risk is transferred. Investment contracts that contain a discretionary participation feature entitling the policyholder to receive additional bonuses or benefits, such as with-profit contracts, are classified as investment contracts with discretionary participation feature. Those investment contracts that do not have this feature are classified as investment contracts without discretionary participation feature.

Hybrid policies that include both discretionary participation features and unit-linked components have been unbundled and the two components have been accounted for separately.

Reassurance contracts have been classified in the same manner as direct contracts, with those reinsurance contracts which do not transfer significant insurance risk classified as financial assets.

A major treaty with HBOS reinsures unit-linked business. Some of the reinsured policies are classified as insurance and others as investment. Rather than classifying the reinsurance treaty as a whole, the underlying policies have been considered and classified.

## F. Insurance contracts and investment contracts with discretionary participation feature Earned premiums

Premiums earned are accounted for on a cash basis, in respect of single premium business and recurrent single premium pension business, and on an accruals basis in respect of all other business.

All pension policies contain an open market option under which, in lieu of the benefits that must be taken on retirement, the equivalent lump sum can be transferred to another provider. All such lump sums, arising from policies within the Group, are included in claims paid. Where such lump sums are used to purchase annuities from the Group, these are included in premium income.

#### Claims

Death claims are recorded on the basis of notifications received. Surrenders are recorded when notified; maturities and annuity payments are recorded when due. Claims on participating business include bonuses payable and interest. Claims payable include direct costs of settlement.

#### Reassurance contracts

Outward reassurance premiums are recognised when payable. Reassurance recoveries are credited to match the relevant gross claims.

#### Liabilities

Liabilities for insurance contracts and investment contracts with discretionary participation feature are measured on an amortised cost basis as described in section M below.

#### G. Investment contracts without discretionary participation feature

Contracts classified as investment without discretionary participation feature are classified as financial instruments under FRS 26 and have been accounted for using the principles of deposit accounting. Deposits are no longer accounted through the Profit and Loss Account, but accounted for directly in the Balance Sheet as an adjustment to technical provisions. Fees receivable from investment contracts without discretionary participation features are reported in 'Other technical income'.

Withdrawals notified have been accounted for directly in the Balance Sheet as an adjustment to technical provisions and not through the Profit and Loss Account as claims.

Liabilities for contracts classified as investment without discretionary participation feature are measured on an amortised cost basis as described in section M below.

#### H. Investment return

Investment return comprises all investment income, realised gains and losses, movements in unrealised gains and losses, net of investment expenses, including interest payable on financial liabilities.

All income from listed stocks and shares is included in the accounts when the security becomes ex-dividend. Other investment income, including interest income from fixed-interest investments and rent, is accrued up to the balance sheet date.

Property rental income arising under operating leases is recognised in equal instalments over the period of the lease.

Realised gains and losses on investments are calculated as the difference between net sales proceeds and the original cost.

Unrealised gains and losses on investments represent the difference between the valuation of investments at the balance sheet date and their purchase price or, if they have been previously valued, their valuation at the last balance sheet date. The movement in unrealised gains and losses recognised in the year also includes the reversal of unrealised gains and losses recognised in earlier accounting periods in respect of investment disposals in the current period.

#### I. Bonuses

The Society declares bonuses annually and the Society's former subsidiary, University Life Assurance SocietyULAS, declared bonuses triennially. Guaranteed bonuses are included in the long-term business provision. Non-guaranteed final bonuses are payable when a claim is made and an estimate of these non-guaranteed benefits, including any future discretionary increases to policy values, is included in the long-term business provision. Non-guaranteed final bonuses, payable when a claim is made, are included in claims paid.

#### J. Valuation of investments

All financial assets are initially recognised at cost, being the fair value at the date of acquisition. Subsequently all financial assets are valued at fair value through the Profit and Loss Account. Fair value is determined on either bid market prices for listed investments if readily available, broker or dealer quotations, or market values of another instrument that is substantially the same. If prices are not readily available, the fair value is based on appropriate valuation techniques, supported by observable market data, where available. An impairment review is an integral part of establishing the fair value of financial assets.

A financial asset is recognised when the Group commits to purchase the asset, and is derecognised when the contractual right to receive cash flows expires or when the asset is transferred.

Financial assets at fair value through the Profit and Loss Account have two sub categories: financial assets held for trading; and those designated at fair value through the Profit and Loss Account at inception. Derivative instruments have been classified as held for trading. All other financial assets have been classified as fair value through profit and loss category. No material financial assets have been classified as held to maturity or as available for sale under FRS 26 classification.

The Society's derivatives are primarily concentrated in interest rate swaptions and FTSE 100 Futures. Hedge accounting has not been used for these Instruments. Collateral received to back derivative positions is recognised on the Balance Sheet as cash, with a corresponding liability in 'Other creditors'.

Securities lent, where substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership remain with the Society, are retained on the Balance Sheet at their current value. Collateral received in respect of securities lent is not recorded on the Balance Sheet.

#### **K.** Property

Freehold and leasehold properties are valued individually by the qualified surveyors Jones Lang LaSalle on the basis of open market value, less the estimated costs of disposal.

No depreciation is provided in respect of investment properties. The Directors consider that this accounting policy is appropriate for the financial statements to give a true and fair view as required by 'SSAP 19 Accounting for Investment Properties'. Depreciation is only one of the factors reflected in the annual valuations and the amount which might otherwise have been shown cannot be separately identified or quantified.

#### L. Impairment policy

The Group reviews the carrying value of its assets (other than those held at fair value through profit and loss) at each balance sheet date. If the carrying value of a financial asset is impaired, the carrying value is reduced through a charge to the income statement. Impairment is only recognised if the loss event has an impact on the estimated future cash flows of the financial asset or group of financial assets that can be reliably estimated.

#### M. Technical provisions - long-term business provision and provision for linked liabilities

The long-term business provision is determined separately for each insurance company in the Group, following an investigation of the long-term funds, and is calculated in accordance with the rules contained in The FSA Handbook of Rules and Guidance. The investigations are carried out as at 31 December. For the with-profits business of the Society, the liabilities to policyholders are determined in accordance with the FSA realistic capital regime and in accordance with the requirements of FRS 27. These liabilities include an estimate of non-guaranteed benefits, including future discretionary increases to policy values, and provision for any guaranteed values which are in excess of policy values. Also included in the liability is an amount representing the excess of assets over other realistic liabilities. This amount is referred to as Excess Realistic Assets ("ERA") in these financial statements and is a key measure of the Society's resources, representing the amount available to meet any unforeseen liabilities and liabilities in excess of those provided for at the balance sheet date, and to enhance bonuses in the future.

The calculation of the long-term business provision for all non-profit business is calculated using the gross premium valuation method.

The technical provision in respect of index-linked annuities in payment is equal to the discounted value of the annuity benefits which allows for indexation.

The provisions in respect of with-profits investment contracts are not at fair value as there is no active market for such instruments and the basis to measure future supplementary discretionary returns is unreliable.

The Group's investment contracts without discretionary participation feature consist almost entirely of unit-linked contracts. The liability in respect of unit-linked contracts is equal to the value of assets to which the contracts are linked, and is included in 'Technical provisions' in the Balance Sheet.

#### N. Other financial liabilities

Borrowings are initially recognised at fair value and subsequently stated at amortised cost, using the effective interest method where appropriate.

#### O. Fund for Future Appropriations ("FFA")

The FFA for ULAS represents the 2006 balance shown in the Group financial statements. ULAS was not affected by the FSA realistic capital regime, and its FFA represented amounts, which were available for future bonuses of various kinds, in excess of levels allowed for in the technical provisions and for transfer to the shareholders' funds.

The Society's FFA is presented as a nil balance because the amounts available to enhance bonuses in the future are now included in 'Technical provisions'.

The Group FFA is nil for 2007 following the sale of ULAS.

#### P. Taxation

The charge for taxation in the Profit and Loss Account is based on the method of assessing taxation for long-term funds. Provision has been made for deferred tax assets and liabilities using the liability method, on all material timing differences, including revaluation gains and losses on investments recognised in the Profit and Loss Account. Deferred tax is calculated at the rates at which it is expected that the tax will arise and has not been discounted.

#### Q. Foreign currency translation

Assets and liabilities in foreign currencies are expressed in Pounds Sterling at the exchange rates ruling at the balance sheet date. Revenue transactions have been translated at rates of exchange ruling at the time of the transactions.

#### R. Segmental reporting

In the opinion of the Directors, the Group operates in one business segment, being that of long-term insurance business.

#### 2. Earned premiums

		Group
	2007 Premiums	2006 Premiums Restated
	£m	£m
a. Analyses of gross premiums written are as follows:		
Individual premiums	121	108
Premiums under group contracts	3	6
Tremains ander group concracts	124	114
Regular premiums	55	61
Single premiums	69	53
<b>5 F</b>	124	114
Premiums from non-profit contracts	55	48
Premiums from with-profits contracts	58	55
Premiums from linked contracts	11	11
	124	114
Premiums from life business	34	44
Premiums from annuity business	2	2
Premiums from pension business	88	68
·	124	114
Premiums from UK business	121	111
Premiums from overseas business	3	3
	124	114
b. Gross new business premiums		
Individual premiums	69	56
Premiums under group contracts	1	1
5. oup contracts	70	57
Regular premiums	1	3
Single premiums	69	54
- 3 F	70	57
Premiums from non-profit contracts	27	23
Premiums from with-profits contracts	35	30
Premiums from linked contracts	8	4
	70	57
Premiums from life business	-	-
Premiums from annuity business	1	1
Premiums from pension business	69	56
	70	57
Premiums from UK business	70	57
Premiums from overseas business	-	-
	70	57

Annual equivalent premiums in respect of new business received during the year were £8m (2006 restated: £9m). New premiums in respect of reassured business during the year were £8m (2006 restated: £4m).

Following the adoption of FRS 26, deposits received in respect of investment contracts without discretionary participation feature are not included in the Technical Account or in the tables above. Total deposits received in 2007 were £45m (2006: £61m) and new premium deposits were £11m (2006: £26m).

#### Classification of new business

The Society closed to new business on 8 December 2000. However, the Society continues to recognise new business premiums and deposits in the following instances:

- Recurrent single premiums are classified as regular where they are deemed likely to renew at or above the
  amount of initial premium or deposit. Incremental increases on existing policies are classified as new
  business premiums;
- Department for Work and Pensions rebates are classified as new single premiums;
- Unless classified as investment without discretionary participation feature, funds at retirement under
  individual pension contracts reinvested with the Society and transfers from group to individual contracts
  are classified as new business single premiums and, for accounting purposes, are included in both claims
  incurred and as single premiums within gross premiums written. Such amounts constitute the majority of
  premiums from non-profit contracts. Where an amount of fund under a managed pension is applied to
  secure an immediate annuity, that amount is included in both claims incurred and as a single premium
  within gross premiums written;
- Increments under existing group pension schemes are classified as new business premiums; and
- Where regular premiums are received other than annually, the regular new business premiums are stated on an annualised basis.

#### 3. Outward reinsurance premiums

On 1 March 2001, the Society entered into reinsurance contracts with HBOS in respect of certain of its unitlinked and non-profit business. The establishment of the reinsurance contracts effectively transferred the risks and rewards in respect of the reinsured business to HBOS.

Premiums and deposits received from policyholders in respect of reinsured business are immediately forwarded to HBOS. HBOS reimburse the Society for any claims and withdrawals the Society has paid to policyholders in respect of reinsured business. As a result of these processes, after allowing for special features of the reinsurance contracts, the impact to the Society of these contracts is minimal.

Under the terms of the reinsurance contracts with HBOS, if the Society were to become insolvent, or reasonably likely to become insolvent in the opinion of the reinsurer's board, HBOS can then make payments directly to policyholders whose policies have been reassured.

On 11 May 2006, the Society entered into an agreement where a substantial proportion of the Society's non-profit pension annuity business was reassured with Canada Life Ltd. Following the completion of the High Court process, this business was subsequently transferred to Canada Life Ltd. on 9 February 2007 as a Part VII Transfer under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000. Until that date, this business was subject to a reassurance arrangement, under which Canada Life Ltd. bore substantially all the risks and rewards from this business with effect from 1 January 2006. The initial premium of £4,608m for the reassurance of this business is included in 'Outward reinsurance premiums'. This business has been treated as 'Discontinued operations' in the Profit and Loss Account. The reassurance agreement was unwound when the business was transferred.

The Society has several other outward reinsurance contracts under which relatively small volumes of business are reinsured.

The reinsurance balance, as required to be disclosed by the Companies Act 1985, and as defined by the Statement of Recommended Practice ("SORP"), which represents the aggregate total of all those items included in the Technical Account which relate to reassurance transactions, net of related gains of £195m (2006: £101m), is a net debit of £2m (2006: £9m credit).

#### 4. Total investment return

	Group	
	2007	2006
	£m	£m
a. Investment income comprises income from:		
Land and buildings	32	33
Other investments	498	751
	530	784
Net gains on realisation of investments	260	276
Investment income and net realised gains at fair value through the Profit and Loss Account	790	1,060
b. Investment expenses including interest comprise:		
Investment management expenses	12	14
Interest charges on loans	9	16
meerese enarges on todis	,	10
	21	30
c. Investment activity account		
Investment income	530	784
Realised investment gains	260	276
Unrealised investment losses	(445)	(744)
Total fair value investment income and net gains at fair value	345	316
through the Profit and Loss Account		
Investment management expenses and charges	(21)	(30)
Investment return for the year	324	286

Included within the tables above is £5m (2006: £nil) of net gain in respect of derivative instruments designated as held for trading, and £16m (2006: £6m) of unrealised gain resulting from the retranslation of balances in connection with overseas business.

There have been no material gains or losses arising on the disposal of subsidiary companies.

#### d. Interest income and expense not included in the investment return

Contracts classified as investment with discretionary participation feature are measured at amortised cost. The interest income and expense in respect of such contracts is included within the Technical Account under the heading 'Change in long-term business provision'.

#### 5. Other technical income and charges

	Grou	D
	2007	2006
		Restated
	£m	£m
a. Other technical income comprises:		
Income from non-insurance business	2	2
Investment return on secured assets deposited with the Society by	35	-
Canada Life Ltd.		
Other income	4	9
	41	11
b. Other technical charges comprise:		
Investment return on secured assets deposited with the Society by	-	19
Canada Life Ltd.		
Transfer of assets to The Prudential Assurance Company Ltd.	1,754	-
Expenses of non life subsidiary companies	3	1
Other charges	-	2
	1,757	22

C ....

On 15 March 2007 the Society entered into an agreement with The Prudential Assurance Company Ltd. (the Prudential) for the transfer of its with-profits annuity policies. Following the completion of the High Court process, this business was subsequently transferred to the Prudential on 31 December 2007 as a Part VII Transfer under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000. The final value of assets to be transferred will be known in mid 2008 once all actuarial work is complete. The value of assets transferred and accrued is reported in the Profit and Loss Account in table b. above and are described in Note 10d.

As part of the reassurance between the Society and Canada Life Ltd. referred to in Note 3, the initial premium was deposited back with the Society. There existed a charge over investments of the Society equal to the value of the deposit. The investment returns from those secured assets were attributed to Canada Life Ltd. and payments of related annuities deducted from the deposited assets.

If the secured assets earned a positive return in the period, the attribution to Canada Life Ltd. is reported as 'Other technical charges', and if negative as 'Other technical income'.

Following Court approval of the Part VII Scheme of Arrangement, the charged assets were transferred to Canada Life Ltd. This resulted in a nil charge to the Profit and Loss Account as the value of charged assets was equal to the value of deposits received from Canada Life Ltd. as at the date of the transfer.

#### 6. Claims incurred - gross

	Group	
	2007 Claims	2006
		Claims
		Restated
	£m	£m
Claims paid - gross amount	1,185	1,408
Change in provision for claims - gross amount	(12)	13
Gross claims	1,173	1,421

Gross claims incurred comprise gross claims paid and the change in provision for claims outstanding.

		Group
	2007	2006
	Claims	Claims
		Restated
	£m	£m
Gross claims paid comprise:		
On death	38	41
On maturity	519	504
On surrender	310	298
By way of periodic payments	304	575
Claims handling expenses	2	3
	1,173	1,421
Life and annuity business	175	164
Pension business	996	1254
Claims handling expenses	2	3
	1,173	1,421
Linked business	66	76
Non-profit business	110	335
With-profits business	995	1,007
Claims handling expenses	2	3
etains nanating expenses	_	
	1,173	1,421
UK business	1,143	1,389
Overseas business	28	29
Claims handling expenses	20	3
ctains nanding expenses	1,173	1,421

Included in the above payments are attributable final and interim bonuses for the Society and ULAS of £50m (2006: £47m).

Following the adoption of FRS 26, withdrawals made in respect of investment contracts without discretionary participation feature are not included in the Technical Account or in the tables above. Total withdrawals in 2007 were £292m (2006: £267m).

The gross claims incurred include £42m (2006: £306m) relating to discontinued operations which were transferred to Canada Life Ltd. on 9 February 2007, as described in Note 3, and £178m (2006: £189m) relating to discontinued operations which were transferred to The Prudential Assurance Company Ltd. on 31 December 2007, as described in Note 5.

#### 7. Net operating expenses

	Grou	Group		
	2007	2006		
	£m	£m		
a. Non-exceptional				
Administrative expenses	45	55		

#### b. Exceptional

The Group incurred the following exceptional expenses during the year:

	Group		
	2007	2006	
	£m	£m	
Rectification and other GAR-related expenses	1	2	
Costs of pursuing litigation against third parties	-	-	
Pension costs for former staff	44	5	
Costs of strategic initiatives	51	18	
Other projects	2	3	
	98	28	

As explained in the Financial Review on page 8, savings on recurring expenses have been offset by increases in exceptional expenses due to strategic activity (the cost of implementing the arrangements with Canada Life Ltd. and Prudential Assurance Company Ltd.) and a review of pension commitments.

#### c. Services from auditors

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") is one of a number of professional firms that undertake advisory work for the Society. Where PwC has been engaged to perform such non-audit work, in circumstances where it is to the Society's advantage that it does so, the Society's regular commitments procedures are followed and the Audit Committee reviews them to ensure that auditor independence is preserved.

During the year, the Group received the following services from the Group's auditor as detailed below:

	Group		
	2007 £m	2006 £m	
Fees payable for the audit of the Society and Group accounts	0.7	1.0	
Fees payable to the Society's auditor and its associates for other services:			
Other services pursuant to legislation	0.1	0.2	
Tax services	0.1	0.1	
All other services	0.6	0.3	
	1.5	1.6	

#### 8. Directors and employees

	Grou	p
	2007	2006
	£m	£m
a. Staff costs		
Wages and salaries	5	4
Social security costs	1	1
	6	5

The monthly average number of employees employed by the Group during the year, including Executive Directors, required to be disclosed in accordance with the Companies Act 1985, was 26 (2006: 28). In addition, the Society employs a number of contractors and, under its agreement with HBOS, uses the services of HBOS staff.

#### b. Emoluments of Directors

Full details of Directors' emoluments, pensions and interests, as required by the Companies Act 1985, are included in the Remuneration Report on page 24.

#### c. Former staff pension arrangements

As a result of contractual commitments arising as part of the agreement entered into with HBOS in March 2001, when the Society sold its administrative and sales operations, the Society meets the major part of the funding in respect of the pension schemes for those staff that transferred to the employment of HBOS as a result of the sale transaction. An amount of £63m (2006: £34m) is provided in respect of the contractual commitment to HBOS in relation to the defined benefit scheme, following the triennial actuarial valuation performed as at 31 December 2004, as modified for relevant changes to the balance sheet date. An additional provision of £44m (2006: £45m), representing an estimate of the current value of the contractual commitment to HBOS in respect of future service costs over the next nine years (2006: 10 years), is included within 'Technical provisions: long-term business'.

#### 9. Taxation

	Group	
	2007	2006
	£m	£m
a. Taxation charged to the Technical Account		
UK corporation tax		
Current tax on income for the period	9	10
Adjustments in respect of previous years	(6)	(1)
	3	9
Foreign tax		
Current tax on income for the period	-	3
Deferred tax		
Unrealised gains on investments	(1)	1
Total charge	2	13

The UK corporation tax charge is provided at 20% (2006: 20%), computed in accordance with the rules applicable to life assurance companies, whereby no tax is charged on pension business profits.

	Group		Societ	ty
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	£m	£m	£m	£m
b. Deferred taxation				
Provided in the financial statements:				
Deferred tax of the long-term fund				
Unrealised appreciation in investments	(2)	(3)	(2)	(3)

#### 10. Non-linked investments

	Current value		Cos	it
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	£m	£m	£m	£m
a. Land and buildings				
Group				
Leasehold	177	203	129	136
Freehold	448	637	396	449
	625	840	525	585
Society				
Leasehold	177	203	129	136
Freehold	448	634	396	445
	625	837	525	581

The Group invests indirectly in property through specialised unit trusts, which are classified as 'Other financial investments' (see Note 10c). Total property-related investments at 31 December 2007 are £766m (2006: £1,080m).

	Current val	Current value		
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	£m	£m	£m	£m
b. Investments in Group undertakings				
Shares	16	19	18	24

		Gro	up qu	Socie	ety
		2007	2006	2007	2006
		£m	£m	£m	£m
c. Other financial investments held at fair value thro	ough th	e Profit	and Loss Accou	unt	
Group					
Shares and other variable yield securities and					
units in unit trusts					
Shares and units in unit trusts <sup>(1)</sup>	444		569	473	574
Other variable income and securities (2)	34		-	29	-
		478	569	502	574
Debt securities and other fixed-income securities (3)		5,661	11,972	5,703	11,733
Deposits and other investments		-,	,	-,	, ,
Loans secured by mortgages and policies	2		3	2	3
Deposits with credit institutions	335		555	334	562
		337	558	336	565
		6,476	13,099	6,541	12,872
Contra					
Society					
Shares and other variable yield securities and					
units in unit trusts	420			450	<b>5</b> 40
Shares and units in unit trusts <sup>(1)</sup>	432		544	459	548
Other variable income and securities (2)	34		<u> </u>	29	
		466	544	488	548
Debt securities and other fixed-income securities (3)		5,661	11,952	5,703	11,712
Deposits and other investments					
Loans secured by mortgages and policies	2		3	2	3
Deposits with credit institutions	335		552	334	558
		337	555	336	561
		6,464	13,051	6,527	12,821

Current value

#### Notes:

- (1) Includes listed investments of £199m (2006: £194m) for the Group and £199m (2006: £182m) for the Society at fair value. (2) Comprise derivatives including FTSE 100 Futures and interest rate swaptions. The interest rate swaption is valued on a
- (2) Comprise derivatives including FTSE 100 Futures and interest rate swaptions. The interest rate swaption is valued on a mark-to-model basis. Both categories are classified as held for trading.
- (3) Includes listed investments of £5,198m (2006: £11,326m) for the Group and £5,198m (2006: £11,306m) for the Society at fair value.

Investments of £2,134m (2006: £2,204m), which were lent in the normal course of business to authorised money brokers on a secured basis, are included in 'Other financial investments'. Cash and similar investments of £2,154m (2006: £2,256m) were received as collateral from brokers. Income earned on stock lending during the year, net of fees paid, was £2m (2006: £1m).

The agreement entered into with Canada Life Ltd. on 11 May 2006 included reassurance of non-profit annuities with a deposit back arrangement. The amount deposited with the Society was equal to the value of the non-profit annuity business ceded, and was valued at £4,316m at 31 December 2006. There was a charge over the Society's investments to the value of the deposit received from the reinsurer, at the balance sheet date. This charge was released after the transfer of assets following Court approval of the Part VII Transfer under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 in February 2007. This deposit back arrangement had the effect of increasing the Group's and the Society's total assets and total liabilities by the deposited amount.

Assets transferred to the Prudential on 31 December 2007 £m Assets transferred to Canada Life Ltd. on 9 February 2007 £m

Cost

d. Assets transferred under Part VII Transfer in 2007		
Society		
Leasehold property	65	-
Freehold property	7	-
	72	nil
Shares and other variable yield securities and units in unit trusts	133	
Debt and other fixed-income securities	1,371	4,122
Deposits with credit institutions	150	43
Accrued interest	20	69
Accrued transfer of assets	8	nil
Total transferred & accrued	1,754	4,234

The total value of assets transferred to the Prudential is reported in the Profit and Loss Account within 'Other technical charges' as £1.754bn and in Note 5. The final transfer total will be agreed mid 2008, once all yearend values have been agreed with the Prudential.

The total value of assets transferred to Canada Life Ltd. was equal to the value of secured deposits received from Canada Life Ltd. at the date of the transfer, and so net to nil within 'Other technical charges' and in Note 5.

#### 11. Assets held to cover linked liabilities

	Group		Society	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Current value of linked assets held at fair value through the Profit and Loss Account	76	87	76	87

The cost of assets held to cover linked liabilities is £65m (2006: £80m) for the Group and the Society.

#### 12. Debtors

	Group		Society	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Debtors arising out of direct insurance				
Amounts owed by policyholders	5	31	5	31
Other debtors				
Debtors other than Group and related companies	36	38	36	37
Outstanding sales of investments	-	10	-	10
	36	48	36	47
	41	79	41	78

The carrying values of these items equate closely to fair values and are expected to be realised within a year of the balance sheet date.

#### 13. Other prepayments and accrued income

	Gro	Group		ety
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Prepayments	70	111	70	111

The prepayments include an interest-bearing advance payment made to a service provider. These amount to £70m and are not expected to be realised for over five years.

#### 14. Subordinated liabilities

	Group		Society	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Amounts falling due after more than five years	-	171	-	167

On 6 August 1997, ELF, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Society, issued £350m 8.0% Undated Subordinated Guaranteed Bonds (the Bonds), which were guaranteed by the Society. The proceeds, after deduction of costs associated with the issue, were loaned to the Society on similar terms as to interest, repayment and subordination as to those applicable to the Bonds. All (but not some only) of the Bonds were repayable at the option of ELF on 6 August 2007 and each fifth anniversary thereafter. It was decided to exercise the option at the earliest date. In accordance with the terms of the loan agreements, the Bonds were redeemed and the related loan to the Society was repaid on 6 August 2007.

#### 15. Fund for Future Appropriations

•••	Group		Society	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	£m	£m	£m	£m
At 1 January	10	9	-	-
Transfer from the Profit and Loss Account	1	1	-	-
University Life Assurance Society sold from Group	(11)			
At 31 December	-	10	-	-

ULAS was part of the Group at 2006 but was sold to Reliance Mutual Insurance Society Ltd. during 2007, as described in Note 1.

### 16. Technical provisions

### a. Gross long-term business technical provisions

a. Gross long term business teermeat pro-	71310113	Group	)		Society	٧
	200	7	2006	200	07	2006
		R	estated			Restated
With-profits technical provisions	£r		£m	£	.m	£m
With-profits insurance technical provisions						
Policy values	486	2,272		486	2,2	72
Future charges	(35)	(100)	)	(35)		00)
Impact of early surrenders	`(1)	(3)		`(1)	`	(3)
Cost of guarantees	6 <del>5</del>	138		65	1	38
Other long-term liabilities	99	151		99	1	40
	61	4	2,458	6′	14	2,447
With-profits investment technical provisions						
Policy values	4,897	5,287		4,897	5,2	87
Future charges	(171)	(188)	)	(171)	(1	88)
Impact of early surrenders	(30)	(47)		(30)	,	47)
Cost of guarantees	377	428		377		28
Other long-term liabilities	285	255		285		55
3	5,35		5,735	5,3!	58	5,735
Excess Realistic Assets	62	1	884	62	21	884
	6,59	3	9,077	6,59	93	9,066
Non-profit technical provisions						
Non-profit insurance technical provisions	876	4,500		876	4,4	87
Non-profit investment technical provisions	5	6		5		6
	88	1	4,506	88	31	4,493
Total long-term business technical provisions	7,47	4	13,583	7,47	74	13,559

#### b. Gross linked liabilities

	Group		Soci	ety
	2007 2006		2007	2006
		Restated		Restated
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Index-linked annuities	80	707	80	707
Other linked insurance liabilities	225	256	225	255
Other linked investment liabilities	2,248	2,364	2,248	2,364
Total linked liabilities	2,553	3,327	2,553	3,326

## c. Reinsurers' share of technical provisions: insurance and investment contracts

2,248 <b>2,477</b>	2,364	3,240	2,248 2,477	2,364
LLJ	233		ZZJ	233
225	255		225	255
4	621		4	621
-	-		-	-
-	_		-	-
315		3,922	315	3,922
5	6		5	6
310	3,916		310	3,916
£m		£m	£m	£m
	Re	estated		Restated
2007	•	2006	2007	2006
	Group		So	ciety
_	£m 310 5 315 4	2007 Ref £m  310 3,916 5 6  315  4  621	2007 2006 Restated £m £m  310 3,916 5 6  315 3,922	2007     2006     2007       Restated     £m     £m       310     3,916     310       5     6     5       315     3,922     315       -     -     -       -     -     -       4     621     4

#### d. Discontinued operations

The Part VII Transfer of policies to Canada Life Ltd. on 9 February 2007 and to The Prudential Assurance Company Ltd. (the Prudential) on 31 December 2007, described in Notes 3 and 5 respectively, resulted in the following movements to long-term business technical provisions:

	Group	Group
	2007	2006
	£m	£m
Gross provisions - transfer to Canada Life Ltd.	(4,133)	(403)
Gross provisions - change during 2007 re the Prudential	(186)	(141)
Gross provisions - transfer to the Prudential	(1,754)	
Gross provisions - all discontinued operations	(6,073)	(544)
Reinsurer's share of provisions - transfer to Canada Life Ltd.	4,133	(4,219)
Net provisions - all discontinued operations	(1,940)	(4,763)

## e. Movement of gross technical provisions investment contracts without discretionary participation feature

	Group		Society	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	£m	£m	£m	£m
At 1 January	2,370	2,356	2,370	2,356
Change arising from new deposits	45	61	45	61
Change arising from withdrawals	(292)	(267)	(292)	(267)
Other changes	130	220	130	220
At 31 December	2,253	2,370	2,253	2,370

#### f. The long-term business provision - non-profit and index-linked annuities

The long-term business provisions for the Society's non-profit and index-linked annuities have been calculated using the gross premium method. The principal assumptions and their comparatives are shown in the table below. Actuarial bases have been modified in respect of valuation interest rates, mortality assumptions and future expense allowances. Explanations of the effect of those changes are set out in Notes i. and ii. below.

The principal assumptions used in valuing the non-profit and index-linked annuities in payment were as follows:

Class of business	Interest i	rate %	Future expense allowa	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Non-profit annuities in payment				
Basic Life and General Annuity	4.80	4.70	£24 p.a.	£24 p.a.
business - pre 1992				
Basic Life and General Annuity	4.32	4.20	£24 p.a.	£24 p.a.
business - post 1991				
Pension business	4.80	4.70	£24 p.a.	£24 p.a.
Index-linked annuities in payment				
Basic Life and General Annuity	1.38	1. <del>4</del> 8	£24 p.a.	£24 p.a.
business - pre 1992				
Basic Life and General Annuity	1.24	1.23	£24 p.a.	£24 p.a.
business - post 1991				
Pension business	1.38	1.48	£24 p.a.	£24 p.a.

#### Notes:

i. Valuation interest rates are based on the yields on the assets held, reduced for risk. Reductions from the yield for risk for corporate fixed-interest securities are based on credit ratings. In general, fixed-interest and index-linked yields are broadly at a similar level to those at the end of 2006. The changes to the valuation interest rates in aggregate have increased the index-linked annuity provision by £1m and decreased the non-profit technical provisions by £6m. Similarly, the market value of the backing assets has decreased as yields have changed and this offsets a large proportion of the change in technical provisions. Future expenses in respect of non-profit and index-linked annuities in payment are allowed for in two ways - an explicit per policy allowance, increasing by 3.0% p.a. (2006: 3.0% p.a.) and an expense allowance for fund management, expressed as a percentage of the value of the fund, of 0.06% p.a. (2006: 0.06% p.a.). The amount allowed for expenses has been assumed to increase by an additional 4.6% p.a. (2006: 4.0% p.a.) to allow for diseconomies of scale as the size of the business declines. The amount included for each successive year allows for the effect of inflation throughout the expected future lifetime of the policies.

ii. The Society continues to make allowance for future improvements in longevity of annuitants. The Society's valuation has been carried out using recently published mortality tables and an investigation into the Society's actual mortality experience. The overall effect of this review has been to increase index-linked annuity and non-profit annuity technical provisions by £4m (2006: decrease of £11m - this amount included business subsequently transferred to Canada Life Ltd.).

A sensitivity analysis, carried out in connection with the effect of a change in mortality basis on the technical provisions, has demonstrated that a 10% change in the mortality basis would result in a £22m (2006: £152m - this amount included business subsequently transferred to Canada Life Ltd.) increase in the non-profit and index-linked annuity technical provisions. This change is equivalent to the life expectancy of a 65-year-old male increasing by an additional 12 months (2006: 10 months).

#### Non-profit and index-linked annuities in payment

Racic Life and Conoral Annuity business	70.0% IML00 ult (U=2007)*	75.0% IML00 ult
Basic Life and General Annuity business	,	
	for males	(U=2006)* for males
	75.0% IFL00 ult (U=2007)	80.0% IFL00 ult (U=2006)*
	* for females	for females
Pension business (both reassured and retained	90.0% PNMA00MC	82.5% PNMA00MC
business)	(U=2010) ** for males	(U=2009)** for males
	97.5% PNFA00MC	82.5% PNFA00MC
	(U=2010) ** for females	(U=2009)** for females

#### Notes:

#### g. The long-term business provision - with-profits business

The long-term business provisions for the Society's with-profits business have been calculated in accordance with the FSA realistic capital regime. The principal assumptions used to calculate these provisions and the comparatives are described below.

The calculation of realistic liabilities for the Society is based upon the projection of 5,000 different scenarios and includes an estimate of any future non-guaranteed bonuses that may be payable. The value of the liabilities is made up of the following components:

- Policy values the total of policy values for all with-profits policies (or their equivalents for with-profits annuities and conventional with-profits contracts);
- Future charges the margin assumed to be retained each year before making future increases to policy values;
- Impact of early surrenders the value of the financial adjustment assumed to be deducted on noncontractual surrenders;
- Cost of guarantees the cost of meeting contractual guarantees in excess of the policy values; and
- Other long-term liabilities include miscellaneous provisions (as described in Note 16h), with-profits reassured business, less a deduction for the present value of future profits from non-profit business as described below.

The present value of future profits from non-profit business represents the future profits expected from cash flows of the in-force non-profit and index-linked annuity business, less an amount to meet the cost of holding capital in respect of this business. These profits have been deducted as a capitalised amount from the technical provisions in accordance with the requirements of FRS 27. The resulting anticipated present value of future profits is a loss of £17m (2006: £11m).

#### i. Options and guarantees

Options and guarantees are features of life assurance contracts that confer potentially valuable benefits to policyholders. They expose the Society to two types of risk: insurance (such as mortality and morbidity) and financial (such as market prices and interest rates). The value of an option or guarantee comprises two elements: the intrinsic value and the time value. The intrinsic value is the amount that would be payable if the option or guarantee was exercised immediately. The time value is the additional value that reflects the possibility of the intrinsic value increasing in future, before the expiry of the option or guarantee. In adopting FRS 27, the intrinsic and time values of all options and guarantees are included in policyholder liabilities.

<sup>\*</sup> The allowance for future mortality improvements is based on implied mortality improvements as per IML92/IFL92 (subject to a minimum improvement of 1.5% p.a. where U=2007).

<sup>\*\*</sup> The allowance for future mortality improvements is based on the implied future improvements as per PMA/PFA92 MC tables (subject to a minimum improvement of 1.5% p.a. where U=2010).

The Society now has in issue two principal types of with-profits policy: Recurrent Single Premium ("RSP") policies and Conventional With-Profits ("CWP") policies. These policies represented 97% and 3%, respectively, of the total policy values at 31 December 2007 (97% and 3% of the total policy values excluding with-profits annuities at 31 December 2006). For the majority of RSP policies issued before 1 July 1996, each premium (after charges) secures a Guaranteed Investment Return ("GIR"), typically at the rate of 3.5% p.a. For the majority of RSP policies issued after 1 July 1996, the GIR is 0%. For CWP policies, guarantees are payable at specified dates or on the occurrence of specified events.

The options and guarantees in respect of the Society's with-profits business relate to a guarantee on contractual termination (for example, on retirement, maturity, death or on payment of an annuity). The terms of the guarantee vary by contract. For the Society's RSP contracts where there is a GIR, the value of that guaranteed return is assessed based on assumed retirement ages of policyholders. Certain policies also contain a guaranteed minimum level of pension as part of the condition of the original transfer of state benefits to the policy.

For CWP business, there is a guarantee that the amount payable on death or at maturity (where appropriate) will not be less than the sum assured and any declared reversionary bonuses.

All the Society's material options and guarantees are valued on a market-consistent stochastic basis. The valuation involves constructing 5,000 scenarios, aggregating the results under each scenario and then calculating the average liability.

For policies where the guaranteed value at contractual termination exceeds the policy value at that date, the excess would be paid and estimates of such excess form part of the realistic liabilities. In calculating the amount payable to policyholders, account is taken of any management actions such as making changes to policy values in response to changes in market conditions. The cost of these guarantees has reduced from £566m in 2006 to £442m at 31 December 2007 principally as a result of transferring with-profits annuities to the Prudential and the increases made in policy values for 2007. This amount is included within 'Technical provisions' (see Note 16a).

There is inherent uncertainty in calculating the cost of these guarantees and options, as the value depends on future economic conditions, policyholder actions (such as early or late retirement and surrenders) and mortality. In calculating the value of the guarantees, account has been taken of actual experience to date, in addition to industry benchmarks and trends. For economic assumptions, prices for relevant quoted and non-quoted derivatives are used to confirm market consistency.

# ii. Assumptions - with-profits business Mortality

Using the results of an investigation into the Society's actual mortality experience, mortality assumptions have been derived for the with-profits business as detailed in the table below:

Mortality assumptions by class of business	2007	2006
<b>Endowment assurances (with-profits</b>	5)	
Basic Life and General Annuity business	90.0% AMC00 ultimate for males	87.5% AMC00 ultimate for males
Pension business	97.5% AFC00 ultimate for females 90.0% AMC00 ultimate for males 97.5% AFC00 ultimate for females	92.5% AFC00 ultimate for females 87.5% AMC00 ultimate for males 92.5% AFC00 ultimate for females

Mortality assumptions for other classes of business are not material and, for this reason, are not shown above.

### Future charges

A charge of 0.5% p.a. (2006: 0.5% p.a.) is assumed to be retained before making future increases to policy values. This charge provides capital to meet the expected cost of guarantees (the additional cost where a policy's guaranteed benefits exceed its policy value, now or expected in the future).

## **Expenses**

A further charge of 1.0% p.a.(2006: 1.0% p.a.), is assumed to be deducted each year before making future increases to policy values. In addition, an expense provision of £142m for expenses (2006: £60m) has been incorporated with the aim of maintaining a stable expense charge as the business declines. Taken together, these allowances are intended to provide for future expenses in respect of with-profits business. A 10% increase in future expenses would decrease the ERA by £50m (2006: £58m).

## Retirement and surrender assumptions

For the majority of RSP contracts, benefits can be taken on contractual terms at a range of ages. For example, benefits from Retirement Annuity policies can be taken at any age between 60 and 75, whereas benefits from Group Pension policies are expected to be taken at the scheme's normal retirement age. This date is referred to as the Earliest Contractual Date ("ECD"). A proportion of policyholders take their benefits before the earliest expected retirement date.

An investigation of the actual retirement ages for the Society's with-profits policyholders, analysed by type of contract, has been carried out based on actual experience during 2006 and 2007. The results of that investigation have been used to set the assumed retirement ages for the valuation.

The retirement assumptions vary between different product types. The range of retirement dates assumed varies between policyholders being assumed to retire two years (2006: 2 years) earlier than ECD and up to 13 years (2006: 13 years) later than ECD.

A sensitivity analysis has been carried out to illustrate the potential impact on ERA, at 31 December 2007, of GIR on RSP business under certain scenarios modelled on a stochastic basis, where the results are aggregated and the average liability is calculated. If interest rates fall below a given level, it is possible that policyholders with policies that have a guaranteed return (usually 3.5% p.a.) and variable retirement dates may choose to defer retirement. If policyholders wait until interest rates fall below 2.5% and defer their retirement by up to five years (from that previously assumed), while the interest rate in the scenario is below 2.5%, ERA would reduce by £40m (2006: £75m). If the level of interest rates at which behaviour changes is 3.5% and the same period of deferment is assumed, the reduction is £70m (2006: £105m). If the deferral were for a period of up to 10 years, the reduction is £65m (2006: £140m) at 2.5% and £115m (2006: £210m) at 3.5% respectively.

The Society has purchased a series of receiver swaptions with a range of terms. The purpose of these swaptions is to provide additional capital when interest rates on similar fixed-interest securities fall. These swaptions are designed to partially mitigate any increase in liabilities for RSP policies with a non-zero GIR, if policyholders defer their retirement plans. A fall in interest rates of 1.0% at all terms would increase the value of the swaptions by £44m and a similar increase would decrease the value by £19m.

An investigation of the actual surrender rates for the Society's with-profits business, analysed by type of contract, has been carried out based on actual experience during 2006 and 2007. The results of that investigation have been used to set the assumed surrender rates for the valuation.

Non-contractual surrender rates are assumed to fall steadily over the next few years to a long-term rate of 1.5% p.a.(2006: 1.5% p.a.). The effect of the change in the surrender rates has been to increase the ERA by £10m (2006: increase by £15m).

A financial adjustment of 5.0% (2006: 8.0%) of policy values is assumed as a deduction on surrender prior to contractual termination.

# Economic assumptions

In order to produce many projections of different scenarios of the business, an economic model is required. The economic model used by the Society in the valuation is 'The Smith Model'. The model has been calibrated to the gilt yield curve at the valuation date and this determines the risk-free rates used in the projections. The effect of the change in yield curve from 2006 to 2007 was to reduce the ERA by £2m (2005: reduce by £58m). Assumptions are also required for the volatility of the asset values for different asset

categories. Bond volatilities vary by term and duration and are calibrated to those implied by swap option volatilities obtained from Bloomberg. For equity values, the model produces a 10 year volatility of 26% (2006: 21%). Property (including property-related assets) is modelled using a proxy index with a volatility of 15% (2006: 15%) and a correlation with equities of 30% (2006: 30%).

#### h. The long-term business provision - miscellaneous provisions

Technical provisions include amounts in respect of specific provisions:

- An amount of £55m (2006: £68m), which is the current estimate of the compensation or adjustments to future benefits, which may be payable under the review of managed pension sales and other costs which may be payable under the Rectification Scheme to policyholders who had policies with guaranteed annuity options which matured prior to the House of Lords' decision. This provision is based on an assessment of the likely level of claims, the level of current interest rates and the possible form of compensation which may be payable on individual cases, if a claim is found to be appropriate. The principal reduction in the provision is as a result of further settlements (£7m) during the year;
- Anticipated additional exceptional expenses of £82m (2006: £115m) over future years, including
  contractual commitments to HBOS in respect of pension scheme future service costs and anticipated
  additional costs associated with servicing policies in the medium term; and
- An amount of £81m (2006: £128m) for other miscellaneous liabilities including, inter alia, potential misselling liabilities. The principal components are provisions for potential misselling claims, a provision for other legal claims against the Society, and provisions relating to residual reassurance balances in respect of the linked and part of the non-profit book and other items.

### i. Technical provision for other linked liabilities (excluding index-linked annuities)

The technical provision in respect of other linked business (excluding index-linked annuities) is equal to the value of the assets to which the contracts are linked. This business is wholly reassured to HBOS (see Note 3).

A provision in respect of future expenses and mortality risks on other linked insurance business is included in the long-term business provision. The future expenses on other linked business are wholly reassured.

### 17. Capital statement

#### a. Analysis of capital

The capital statement in respect of the Group's life assurance business at 31 December 2007 is set out below. These figures are calculated in accordance with the regulations set out in The FSA Handbook of Rules and Guidance. At 31 December 2006, the Subordinated Debt provided additional capital that resulted in an excess over CRR. With the redemption of the Subordinated Debt during 2007, the CRR at 31 December 2007 now equals the available capital resources and the excess over CRR is £nil. On 31 May 2007, the Group transferred ULAS to Reliance Mutual Insurance Society Ltd. and at balance sheet date, has one with-profits fund as follows:

		2007	2007	2007
	Notes	Equitable	University	Total
		Life	Life	
		£m	£m	£m
Available capital resources				
Fund for future appropriations		-	-	-
Subordinated debt	14	-	-	-
Regulatory adjustments - valuation differences (1)		742	-	742
Regulatory adjustments - inadmissible assets		(2)	-	(2)
Total available capital resources		740	-	740
			-	
Long-term Insurance Capital Requirement (LTICR)		(273)	-	(273)
With-profits Insurance Capital Component (WPICC)		(467)	-	(467)
Total regulatory Capital Resource Requirements (CRR)		(740)	-	(740)
Excess of available capital resources over CRR		-	-	-

		2006	2006	2006
	Notes	Equitable	University	Total
		Life	Life	
		£m	£m	£m
Available capital resources				
Fund for future appropriations		-	10	10
Subordinated debt	14	167	-	167
Regulatory adjustments - valuation differences <sup>(1)</sup>		1,017	(2)	1,015
Regulatory adjustments - inadmissible assets		(1)	-	(1)
Total available capital resources		1,183	8	1,191
Long-term Insurance Capital Component (LTICR)(2)		(467)	(2)	(469)
With-profits Insurance Capital Component (WPICC)		(549)	-	(549)
Total regulatory Capital Resource Requirements (CRR)		(1,016)	(2)	(1,018)
Excess of available capital resources over CRR		167	6	173
N. d.				

#### Notes:

- (1) Valuation differences represent any difference placed on the valuation of liabilities in the financial statements compared with those reported in the regulatory return to the FSA.
- (2) For University Life Assurance Society, the regulatory capital requirement is the minimum amount defined by the regulations.

The tables above show the regulatory capital position for Equitable Life. Equitable Life's FSA return presents the figures on an adjusted solo basis.

## b. Available capital resources

The total available capital resources show the capital, calculated on a regulatory, rather than a realistic basis, in accordance with regulations set out in The FSA Handbook of Rules and Guidance, that is available to meet the capital requirements of the business. The available capital resources for the Group amount to £740m (31 December 2006: £1,191m).

The table below shows the effect of movements in the total amount of available capital of the Society during the year:

	2007	2006
Available capital resources	£m	£m
At 1 January	1,183	888
Investment return and interest rate movements	147	207
Mortality assumption change	(2)	56
Transfer of with-profits annuities to the Prudential	(372)	-
Repayment of subordinated debt	(167)	-
Other movements	(49)	32
At 31 December	740	1,183

### c. Restrictions on available capital resources

It is the Society's aim to manage its business in a sound and prudent manner for the benefit of all policyholders. The Society closed to new business in 2000 and new policies are only issued where there is a regulatory or contractual obligation to do so. The Society has no shareholders and all surpluses and deficits belong to the with-profits policyholders. The Society seeks to ensure that it can meet its contractual obligations to both policyholders and creditors as they fall due. Any new distributions of surplus will be made in non-guaranteed form.

The Society had guaranteed £171m (par value) of 8% Undated Subordinated Bonds which were issued by ELF (a subsidiary company). These were redeemed at par on 6 August 2007. Payments of interest and repayment of the Bonds were subject to certain conditions (see Note 14).

## d. Capital requirements

Each life assurance company must retain sufficient capital to meet the capital requirements specified by the Financial Services Authority ("FSA"). The minimum level of capital required is represented by the Capital Resources Requirement ("CRR").

For the Society, the CRR comprises the Long Term Insurance Capital Requirement ("LTICR") and any additional capital requirement over LTICR, which results from consideration of realistic liabilities. This additional amount of capital required is referred to as the With-Profits Insurance Capital Component ("WPICC").

In order to derive the value of the WPICC, it is necessary to compare the excess available capital resources over LTICR (after deducting the value of Subordinated Debt) with the amount of the FFA (representing the balance of realistic capital), after deduction of a risk capital margin as required by FSA regulations (Adjusted FFA). A value for the WPICC is required where the excess capital resources, as so calculated, are greater than the Adjusted FFA and comprises that difference. Where the excess capital resources, as so calculated, are less than the Adjusted FFA, there is a nil value for the WPICC.

Actuarial guidance for closed with-profits funds issued in 2006 following the introduction by the FSA in 2004 of new rules for the determination of capital requirement, results in a requirement to anticipate the distribution of all assets to policyholders. For this reason, the realistic capital for the Society is a nil balance in 2007 and is lower than the excess capital resources over LTICR and, as a result, a WPICC applies.

Throughout 2007 and the prior year, all life insurers in the Group met the capital requirements specified by the FSA.

### e. Sensitivity to market conditions of liabilities and components of capital

The with-profits realistic liabilities are sensitive to both market conditions and changes to a number of non-economic assumptions that affect the valuation of the liabilities of the fund. The available capital resources (and capital requirements) are most sensitive to the level of fixed-interest yields and the values of equities and property, with the reduction in capital resources being more pronounced at lower levels of yields, as a result of the guarantees to policyholders increasing in value. Reductions in the value of property and equities directly reduce the available capital resources. The Board may take actions, such as changes to policy values, to mitigate reductions in capital resulting from an adverse change in market conditions.

The principal non-economic assumptions are the level of future mortality rates, level of future expenses, changes in future retirement ages and future surrender rates.

### 18. Management of financial risk

## a. Risk management framework

As described in the Corporate Governance section, the Group has established a comprehensive risk management framework. Through this framework, the Group seeks to manage and monitor the various risks to which the Group is exposed. These include other risks such as operational risk and insurance risk.

Also included within this process are the various financial risks, namely:

- Market risk: covering interest rate risk, equity and property price risk, derivative risk and currency risk;
- Credit risk; and
- Liquidity risk.

These risks are discussed in more detail below, and form part of the FRS 29 disclosures.

The Group uses a number of tools to manage the above risks. In addition to the regulatory testing described in Notes 16 and 17, the Society prepares an Individual Capital Assessment ("ICA") report in accordance with FSA requirements on an annual basis where a number of scenarios are tested to assess the potential effect on capital. Other tools more specific to managing an individual risk are included below.

#### b. Market risk

The Society holds a portfolio of investments which are subject to movements in market price. Market risk is the risk of adverse financial changes in fair values or future cash flows of financial instruments from fluctuations in interest rates, equity and property prices, and foreign currency exchange rates. The main responsibility for monitoring this risk lies with the Investment Committee of the Society. The majority of these assets are held to support contractual liabilities arising from both with-profits and non-profit classes of business.

For these long-term business classes, the Society's asset liability management framework aims to hold assets whose values will, as far as possible, move in line with the corresponding guaranteed liabilities to limit the overall impact of market risk on capital.

In line with the Society's with-profits investment policy, investments are mainly in fixed-interest securities, gilts (46%: 2007, 52%: 2006) and corporate bonds (30%: 2007, 24%: 2006).

With regard to unit-linked business, liabilities are reinsured with HBOS and no market risk is considered to arise on this class of business.

As an overall indication of the sensitivity of the Society to changes in market price, consideration is given to the impact on the ERA as a consequence of a number of adverse changes simultaneously occurring. These changes are detailed in the table below and include: reductions in the market price of key asset categories; adverse changes on the yields of corporate bond relative to government backed fixed-interest securities; and adverse changes in the assumed level of future policy surrenders. These adverse changes are consistent with the requirements for the Society's regulatory risk capital margin tests. The adverse changes used are detailed in the following table:

Adverse Changes	2007	2006
Reduction in market price of equities	20.0%	20.0%
Reduction in market price of properties	12.5%	12.5%
Percentage change in long-term gilt yields	17.5%	17.5%
Widening of spread of corporate bonds relative to gilts	0.7%	0.5%
Reduction in surrender rates	32.5%	32.5%

In such adverse investment conditions, the Society could make appropriate reductions to with-profits policy values. These reductions would mitigate market risk, but do not remove the risk entirely for with-profits policies because of the guarantees provided. After adjusting for the reductions, the adverse impact on the ERA of the Society would be as follows:

Adverse Changes	2007	2006
	£m	£m
Above adverse changes - including where long-term gilt yields rise	191	203
Above adverse changes - including where long-term gilt yields fall	164	81

In the scenario where long-term gilt yields are assumed to fall, the figures above exclude the gain in the value of the Society's swaptions. However, where long-term gilt yields are assumed to rise the corresponding loss has been included. In both scenarios, any potential impact in policy liabilities as a result of changes to flexible retirement dates assumptions has been excluded.

#### i. Interest rate risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in interest rates.

The Society's exposure to changes in interest rates is concentrated in the investment portfolio, and historically to a lesser extent, the Subordinated Debt obligations. However, changes in investment values attributable to interest rate changes are mitigated by corresponding and partially offsetting changes in the economic value of the insurance provisions, investment contracts liabilities and debt obligations. A potential market risk for the Society is in respect of GIR on with-profits policies, which are typically 3.5% p.a. If market returns fall below this rate, the cost of providing these guarantees rises accordingly. To mitigate this risk the Society has purchased a series of interest rate swaptions.

The Society monitors this exposure to changes in interest rates through regular periodic reviews of the asset and liability position. Estimates of cash flows, as well as the impact of interest rate fluctuations relating to the investment portfolio and insurance provisions, are modelled and reviewed periodically.

The Society is also exposed to the risk of changes in future cash flows from variable income securities arising from the changes in interest rates.

The Society's sensitivity to interest rate risk is included in the overall market risk sensitivity included in the stress scenario table above, excluding the impact of the Society's swaptions.

## ii. Equity and property price risk

The Society invests in equity and property assets, whose market values are typically more volatile than other classes of asset held by the Society, but which we believe provide long-term benefits for the Society's members. To mitigate the risk of changes in the market price of these asset classes, the Society limits the proportion of the total assets invested in these categories. In addition, as indicated in section i. above, further mitigation takes place by partially offsetting changes in the liabilities of the Society.

The Society's sensitivity to equity and property price risk is included in the overall sensitivity to market risk described above.

#### iii. Derivative risk

The Society invests in derivatives within strict guidelines agreed by the Board of Directors and overseen by the Investment Committee. Derivatives are used for efficient investment management, particularly FTSE 100 Futures to increase exposure to the equity markets as part of a strategy to increase the Society's equity backing ratio. Interest rate swaption derivatives are also used to mitigate interest rate risk. Derivative transactions are fully covered by cash or corresponding assets and liabilities. Derivative contracts are entered into only with approved counterparties, thereby reducing the risk of credit loss.

## iv. Currency risk

The Society's principal transactions are carried out in Pounds Sterling and its exposure to the risk of movements in foreign exchange rates is limited. The risk arises primarily with respect to the US Dollar.

The Society's financial assets are primarily denominated in the same currencies as its insurance and investment liabilities, which mitigate the foreign currency exchange rate risk for any overseas operations. Therefore the main foreign exchange risk arises from recognised assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than those in which insurance and investment liabilities are expected to be settled. The relative exposure of the Group to currency risk is shown in the table below.

		2	.007				2006	
	Ass	ets	Net Lia	bilities	Ass	ets	Net I	_iabilities
	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%
Currency								
Sterling	9,861	97	9,407	98	21,146	98	20,487	99
Euro	222	2	174	2	302	1	214	1
US Dollar	120	1	7		149	1	7	0
Other	6				5			
Total	10,209	100	9,588	100	21,602	100	20,708	100

The excess of the total value of assets over the total value of liabilities represents the Society's ERA, plus for 2006, University Life Assurance Society's FFA.

A change of 10% in Pounds Sterling to Euro/US Dollar exchange rates at the reporting date would have changed by £16m (2006: £23m).

#### c. Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk that a counterparty will fail to pay amounts in full when due. The main credit risks faced by the Group are:

- The risk of default on its portfolio of fixed-interest securities, especially corporate bonds;
- The risk of default by any of its reinsurers.

These risks are monitored by the Society's Investment Committee. A key aspect of this is the Society's policy of investing predominantly in high-quality corporate bonds and government issued debts.

The Society's objective is to earn competitive relative returns by investing in a diversified portfolio of securities. The Society manages this risk by up-front stringent underwriting analysis, reviews by the Investment Committee and regular meetings to review credit developments. Watch lists are maintained for exposures requiring additional review and all credit exposures are reviewed at least annually.

With regard to reinsurance, wherever possible, steps are taken to limit counterparty risk. In particular and, as described below in Note 20, prior to the transfer of the non-profit pension annuity business to Canada Life Ltd. on 9 February 2007, the initial reinsurance premium was deposited back with the Society, thereby essentially removing credit risk on the transaction.

The other major reinsurance treaties are with companies in the HBOS Group. Because reinsurance does not remove the primary liability of the Society to its policyholders, the credit rating of these companies is monitored closely.

The Group's exposure to credit risk is summarised below according to the lowest of the external credit ratings supplied by Moody, Standard & Poor, and Fitch:

		2007				
	AAA	AA	Α	BBB	Othe	r Total
Credit ratings	£m	£m	£m	£m	£n	n £m
Debt and other fixed-income securities	4,184	377	954	88	37	7 5,640
Other variable yield securities	81		16			97
Total of fixed and variable yield securities	4,265	377	970	88	37	7 5,737
Deposits with credit institutions			337			337
Cash at bank and in hand			18			18
Other financial assets	73	6	19	3	12	1 222
Reinsurers' share of technical provisions and						
liabilities (Note 16c)		2,792				2,792
	4,338	3,175	1,344	91	158	9,106
		2006				
	AAA	AA	Α	BBB	Other	Total
Credit ratings	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Debt and other fixed-income securities	2,391	601	528		32	3,552
deposited back by Canada Life Ltd.						
Debt and other fixed-income securities	6,036	648	992	85	21	7,782
Other variable yield securities deposited back by Canada Life Ltd.	594		36		4	634
Other variable yield securities	75		16			91
Total of fixed and variable yield securities	9,096	1,249	1,572	85	57	12,059
Deposits with credit institutions	•	ŕ	558			558
Cash at bank and in hand			16			16
Other financial assets	141	20	30	2	205	398
Reinsurers' share of technical provisions						
and liabilities (Note 16c)		2,943	4,219			7,162
	9,237	4,212	6,395	87	262	20,193

The total of fixed and variable yield securities includes assets held to back linked liabilities. Other financial assets comprise debtors and prepayments and accrued income.

When calculating technical provisions in respect of non-profit business, when deriving the discount rate to be used, appropriate reductions are made to the published yields of invested assets exposed to credit risk. This reduction to the discount rate results in an increase to the assessed technical provision, thereby providing an implicit margin against the risk of default by the counterparties.

The potential credit risk exposure from default by swaption counterparties is mitigated by the receiving of collateral. Collateral of £14.8m (2006: £nil) has been received in cash and has been invested in assets similar in nature to cash. The value of these assets at the year end was £14.8m and is included in 'Deposits with credit institutions' in Note 10c.

The largest single credit risk exposure amounts to £2,972m for HBOS reinsurance (2006: £2,942m for HBOS reinsurance).

At the reporting date, no material financial assets were past due nor impaired (2006: £nil) and management expects no significant losses from non-performance by these counterparties.

## d. Liquidity risk

An important aspect of the Society's management of assets and liabilities is ensuring that cash is available to settle liabilities as they fall due. Monitoring of this risk is undertaken by the Investment Committee. The Society maintains cash and liquid deposits to meet these demands on a daily basis, thereby mitigating liquidity risk. The ratio of illiquid assets to total invested assets is monitored monthly.

Over the longer term, the Society monitors its forecast liquidity position by estimating both the guaranteed and expected cash outflows from its insurance and investment contracts and purchasing assets with similar durations to meet these obligations. The sensitivity of these outflows to changes in policyholder behaviour is also monitored.

The Society's liquidity exposure is relatively limited, as the vast majority of investment assets held backing insurance and investment liabilities, are held in liquid assets which can normally be quickly realised. Also, in times of market uncertainty and potentially poorer liquidity, market adjustments may be borne by those with-profits insurance and investment contract customers who decide to transfer or withdraw their benefits.

As noted in Note 16g ii., the majority of RSP benefits can be taken on contractual terms at a range of ages. The table below details the cash flows using retirement assumptions based on recent experience, that vary between different product types. The range of retirement dates assumed varies between policyholders being assumed to retire two years (2006: 2 years) earlier than Earliest Contractual Date ("ECD") and up to 13 years (2006: 13 years) later than ECD. The cash flows based on ECD would vary from those detailed below, as policies past the ECD would result in a cash flow in the category '0-1 year' and policies yet to reach ECD would be earlier than shown. For with-profits policies where ECD was prior to 31 December 2007, contractual benefits payable will be no lower than total guaranteed benefits which equalled £1.4bn at 31 December 2007. In the case of unit-linked contracts, with the exception of unit-linked annuities, the benefits can be terminated on guaranteed terms at any time which would result in a cash flow in the category '0-1 year'.

2007	0-1	1-5	5-10	10	Total	Carrying
	year	years	years	years		value
Estimated cash flows (undiscounted)				and		
				over		
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Subordinated liabilities	-				-	=
Unit-linked investment contracts	180	836	764	1,625	3,405	2,248
Other non-profit investment contracts	5				5	5
With-profits investment contracts included in	747	1,755	1,567	2,832	6,901	5,358
LTBP						
Deposits received from reinsurer	-					-
Other financial liabilities	101				101	101
	1,033	2,591	2,331	4,457	10,412	7,712

2006	0-1	1-5	5-10	10 years	Total	Carrying
	year	years	years	and over		value
Estimated cash flows (undiscounted)						
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Subordinated liabilities	171				171	171
Unit-linked investment contracts	191	840	844	1,956	3,831	2,364
Other non-profit investment contracts	6				6	6
With-profits investment contracts included in	783	1,921	1,708	2,969	7,381	5,735
LTBP						
Deposits received from reinsurer	4,316				4,316	4,316
Other financial liabilities	111				111	111
	5,578	2,761	2,552	4,925	15, 816	12,703

All liabilities relating to unit-linked and other non-profit investment contracts are reassured so that, in practice, the Group is not exposed to any liquidity risk in respect of such contracts.

Part of the Group's assets is invested in property (including property unit trusts), amounting to £766m at year end 2007 (2006: £1,080m). In adverse market conditions, it may not be possible to realise these investments without delay.

# 19. Provision for other risks and charges

	53	37	53	36
Pension commitments for former staff	51	34	51	33
Provisions for deferred taxation	2	3	2	3
	£m	£m	£m	£m
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	Group		Socie	ty

The movement in the provisions for deferred taxation assets and liabilities is included in Note 9b.

The provision for pension commitments for former staff of £51.5m is in addition to a creditor to HBOS for payments up to May 2008 totalling £7.8m (2006: £nil). Pension payments for former staff relate to the contractual agreement with HBOS (described in Note 8c) under which £19m (2006: £19m) was paid during the year. The balance of the movements is due to changes in economic assumptions.

#### 20. Deposits received from reinsurer - secured

On 11 May 2006, the Society entered into an agreement where a substantial proportion of the Society's non-profit pension annuity business was reassured with Canada Life Ltd. Following the completion of the High Court process, this business was transferred to Canada Life Ltd. on 9 February 2007 as a Part VII Transfer under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000. Until that date, this business was subject to a reassurance arrangement, under which Canada Life Ltd. bore substantially all the risks and rewards from this business with effect from 1 January 2006. This reassurance arrangement was unwound when the business was transferred.

In order to protect the policyholders from a large counterparty credit exposure, the initial premium was deposited back with the Society until the final transfer was approved by the High Court and completed. This deposit was held in assets with a similar investment mix to that previously held by the Society. Canada Life Ltd. held a secured charge over these assets. The investment returns from those secured assets were attributed to Canada Life Ltd. and payments of related annuities deducted from the deposited assets. The net balance due to Canada Life Ltd. at 31 December 2006 was £4,316m. At that date, this deposit back arrangement had the effect of increasing the Group's and the Society's total assets and total liabilities by the deposited amount. This reassurance agreement was unwound when the business was transferred.

### 21. Creditors

	Group		Socie	ty
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	£m	£m	£m	£m
a. Amounts owed to credit institutions	16	19	16	19

Amounts owed to credit institutions represent bank overdrafts partly matched by an amount of £17m (2006: £17m) placed in an assigned account owned by HSBC. The amount is included in 'Debtors' in Note 12.

	Group		Society	
	2007 £m	2006 £m	2007 £m	2006 £m
b. Other creditors including taxation and social security				
Balances with Group companies	-	-	-	10
Corporation tax	5	13	5	13
Other creditors	36	7	36	4
	41	20	41	27

# 22. Subsidiary and associated undertakings

# a. Principal subsidiary undertakings

The principal subsidiary undertaking, which is wholly and directly owned, is as follows:

Nature of business

Equitable Life Finance plc.

Arranging and managing loan finance

The above holding is of ordinary shares. The company is incorporated in the UK.

ELF a subsidiary for 2006 is no longer a subsidiary for 2007. The company redeemed the Bonds on 6 August 2007 and passed a resolution for voluntary winding up on 30 October 2007.

On 20 December 2006, the Society announced that it had reached agreement to sell the share capital of ULAS, a wholly-owned subsidiary, to Reliance Mutual Insurance Society Ltd. The sale completed on 31 May 2007.

#### b. Significant holdings

At 31 December 2007, the Group and the Society held more than 20% of the nominal value of a class of equity shares in 10 (2006: 14) companies.

At 31 December 2007, the Group and the Society held more than 20% of the partnership interests in 1 (2006: 1) limited partnership investing in properties.

At 31 December 2007, the Group and Society held more than 20% of the partnership interest in 10 (2006: 10) portfolios investing in private equity investment companies included in shares and other variable yield securities.

None of the above holdings are regarded by the Directors as associated undertakings as the Society does not exert significant influence. None of the holdings materially affects the results or net assets of the Group or of the Society. These investments are included in the Balance Sheet at current value, which is based upon the Group and the Society's share of relevant net assets.

Full information on subsidiary undertakings and companies and limited partnerships, in which the Group and the Society hold more than 20% of the nominal value of a class of equity share or ownership interests, will be annexed to the Society's next statutory annual return submitted to the Registrar of Companies.

# 23. Related party transactions

There were no material related party transactions during 2007 (2006: £nil).

#### 24. Commitments

The Society has no material operating lease commitments.

There are no property investment commitments provided for in the financial statements (2006: £4m) for the Group and for the Society.

Commitments in respect of uncalled capital on private equity fund interests, not provided for in the financial statements, amounted to £21.9m (2006: £28m) for the Group and for the Society.

In line with usual business practice, warranties have been provided for the strategic transactions completed in the year.

## 25. Contingent liabilities and uncertainties

As noted in the Financial Review on pages 6 and 12 and in the following sections of this Note, there exist certain uncertainties that, in the event they were to materialise, could adversely impact on the appropriateness of the going concern basis of preparation of these financial statements. The Board has assessed the probability of these uncertainties arising and, on the basis of current information and having taken legal and actuarial advice, has concluded that it remains appropriate to prepare these financial statements on a going concern basis.

These uncertainties and potential additional claims are as follows:

- As reported previously, the report of the Equitable Life inquiry, led by Lord Penrose, was published in March 2004. Lord Penrose commented upon several aspects of the Society's affairs, in a way that may impact on the likelihood of further claims being made against the Society for breach of statutory duty, or in tort or contract. Although some complaints have been received by the Society that have included matters commented upon by Lord Penrose, including the claim by certain with-profits annuitants referred to below, there has only been a small number of complaints received arising directly out of the report by Lord Penrose.
- Following publication of the report by Lord Penrose, the Parliamentary Ombudsman ("PO") announced in July 2004 her decision to open a new investigation. It is an inquiry that is independent of the Government and can recommend to Parliament compensation payable by the Government, but cannot require the Society to take any particular action. However, the terms of reference of the inquiry's report may result in consideration of some of the issues commented upon by Lord Penrose and may result in findings that could result in policyholders trying to assert claims against the Society. The Society has had lengthy and confidential discussions with representatives of the PO. Publication of the report is likely in 2008.
- As noted in Note 8c to the financial statements, the Society has contractual commitments in respect of
  two pension schemes for which HBOS is the principal employer. Although full provision is made for
  estimated contractual liabilities, the basis of calculation to be adopted by the Scheme Actuary in his
  triennial valuation (as at 31 December 2007) will not be finalised for some time. There also remains the
  possibility that it may be necessary for a more conservative basis to be adopted in future in calculating
  the Society's obligations.
- The Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales has initiated disciplinary proceedings
  against Ernst & Young in respect of its conduct in certain of its audits of the Society. Although these
  proceedings cannot result in a requirement for the Society to take any particular action, their findings
  could influence the way in which claims might be presented against the Society.
- As previously reported, in relation to with-profits annuities, there has been a number of complaints made
  to the FOS and to date there has been a very limited number upheld on the basis of their respective facts.
  The FOS approach to these complaints has not been on the basis of generic mis-selling. An action by 401
  with-profits annuity policy claimants, who alleged, inter alia, generic mis-selling and over-allocation of
  bonus has now been settled. A limited number of existing complaints, which also raise issues highlighted
  by Lord Penrose, will be considered following this settlement.

- As noted in the Financial Review on page 7, it is the Society's intention that any future bonuses will be in a non-guaranteed form. Allowance is made for continuing contractual commitments, such as the GIR of 3.5% p.a. that is applicable to many policies. In valuing policy liabilities, guarantees are valued under a range of economic scenarios. The calculation of the technical provisions is based on a projection of current market conditions, allowing for current retirement experience. There remains a risk to the Society that investment conditions change or that policyholders defer their retirement. To mitigate this risk the Society has purchased a series of interest rate swaptions. Further provisions would be required if greater premium income were to be received in such circumstances.
- As previously reported, the Society was unable to reach agreement with HBOS over the initial premium payable in respect of the 2001 reassurance arrangement and the matter was referred to an independent umpire for resolution. The umpire issued his determination during 2006, the resultant amount due and paid to HBOS was within the provision held by the Society for this matter. HBOS did not accept that determination and consequently issued judicial proceedings, which the Society vigorously contested. The issue has subsequently been referred back to the umpire who has provided further reasons justifying his original determination. The proceedings remain adjourned at present and there is currently no known intention of either party to restore them, although that remains a possibility. If that were to occur, the court could potentially require the initial premium issue to be re-determined.
- On 15 March 2007 the Society announced that it had entered into an agreement with The Prudential Assurance Company Ltd. for the transfer of all of the Society's with-profits annuity policies. The transfer took place on 31 December 2007 under a Part VII Transfer under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000. An estimate of the value of assets transferring with the policies has been reflected in these accounts. The final value of assets transferring will be agreed with The Prudential Assurance Company Ltd. under the terms of the Business Transfer Agreement to the transaction.

The Society has made appropriate provisions for future expenses, alleged mis-selling and other risks based on currently available information. Over time, as more information becomes available, the range of possible outcomes in relation to these issues can be expected to continue to narrow, and the degree of confidence around the levels of the individual provisions can be expected to increase. However, the potential impact of the range of uncertainties relating to provisions may be significant.